



EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

FIRST SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 28549/06
Aleksandr Aleksandrovich VOLOSHIN
against Russia

The European Court of Human Rights (First Section), sitting on 15 April 2014 as a Committee composed of:

Khanlar Hajiyev, *President*,

Julia Laffranque,

Erik Møse, *judges*,

and André Wampach, *Deputy Section Registrar*,

Having regard to the above application lodged on 21 June 2006,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant, Mr Aleksandr Aleksandrovich Voloshin, is a Russian national, who was born in 1982 and lived in Moscow. On 1 January 2011 the applicant died.

The Russian Government (“the Government”) were represented by Mr G. Matyushkin, the Representative of the Russian Federation at the European Court of Human Rights.

The applicant complained, *inter alia*, under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention that the police incited him to commit a crime of which he was subsequently convicted.

The applicant’s complaint was communicated to the Government, who submitted their observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicant’s mother, who wished to pursue the application on behalf of the applicant. She was invited to submit her own observations. No reply was received to the Registry’s letter.

By letter dated 8 October 2013, sent by registered post, the applicant’s mother was notified that the period allowed for submission of her observations had expired on 12 June 2013 and that no extension of time had

been requested. The attention of the applicant's mother was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. The applicant's mother received this letter on 9 November 2013. However, no response has been received.

THE LAW

The Court considers that, in these circumstances, the mother of the deceased applicant may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue the application on his behalf, within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, the Court finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols which require the continued examination of the case.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court unanimously

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

André Wampach
Deputy Registrar

Khanlar Hajiyev
President