



EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

FIRST SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 54805/10
Andrey Vladimirovich MIRONOV
against Russia

The European Court of Human Rights (First Section), sitting on 28 January 2014 as a Committee composed of:

Elisabeth Steiner, *President*,

Mirjana Lazarova Trajkovska,

Linos-Alexandre Sicilianos, *judges*,

and André Wampach, *Deputy Section Registrar*,

Having regard to the above application lodged on 19 August 2010,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant, Mr Andrey Vladimirovich Mironov, is a Russian national, who was born in 1964 and lives in Chelyabinsk.

The Russian Government (“the Government”) were represented by Mr G. Matyushkin, the Representative of the Russian Federation at the European Court of Human Rights.

The applicant complained under Article 6 of the Convention about failure of the national authorities to assist him in enforcement of a judgment against a private party. He also submitted a number of accessory complaints under Articles 6, 13, 14, 17 of the Convention and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1.

The applicant’s complaints under Article 6 were communicated to the Government, who submitted their observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicant, who was invited to submit his own observations. No reply was received to the Registry’s letter.

By letter dated 3 June 2013, sent by registered post, the applicant was notified that the period allowed for submission of his observations had

expired on 1 April 2013 and that no extension of time had been requested. The applicant's attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. However, the letter was delivered to the Court as not claimed by the addressee.

THE LAW

The Court considers that, in these circumstances, the applicant may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue his application, within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, the Court finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols which require the continued examination of the case.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court unanimously

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

André Wampach
Deputy Registrar

Elisabeth Steiner
President