



EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS  
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

## FIRST SECTION

### DECISION

Application no. 39543/08  
Yevgeniya Yekimovna SHUBINA against Russia  
and 3 other applications  
(see list appended)

The European Court of Human Rights (First Section), sitting on 14 January 2014 as a Committee composed of:

Khanlar Hajiyeu, *President*,

Erik Møse,

Dmitry Dedov, *judges*,

and André Wampach, *Deputy Section Registrar*,

Having regard to the above applications lodged on dates listed in the appendix,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

## FACTS AND PROCEDURE

A list of the applicants is set out in the appendix.

The Russian Government (“the Government”) were represented by Mr G. Matyushkin, Representative of the Russian Federation at the European Court of Human Rights.

The applicants complained, *inter alia*, under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention that judgments in their civil cases had not been pronounced publicly.

The applicants’ complaints under Article 6 § 1 were communicated to the Government, who submitted their observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicants, who were invited to submit their own observations. No reply was received to the Registry’s letter.

By letters dated 11 October 2012, sent by registered post, the applicants were notified that the period allowed for submission of their observations had expired on 1 August 2012 and that no extension of time had been

requested. The applicants' attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. The applicant in application no. 39543/08 received this letter on 29 October 2012. However, no response has been received. The delivery of the letters to the applicants in the other three applications failed for the reason of the applicants' absence at the addresses communicated by them to the Court. They did not inform the Court of the change of their addresses. No correspondence has been received from them thereafter.

## THE LAW

The Court decides to join the applications and examine them in a single decision.

The Court considers that, in the circumstances, the applicants may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue their applications, within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, the Court finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols which require the continued examination of the cases.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the cases out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court unanimously

*Decides* to join the applications;

*Decides* to strike the applications out of its list of cases.

André Wampach  
Deputy Registrar

Khanlar Hajiyev  
President

**APPENDIX**

<b>No</b>	<b>Application No</b>	<b>Lodged on</b>	<b>Applicant Date of birth Place of residence Nationality</b>	<b>Represented by</b>
1.	39543/08	17/06/2008	<b>Yevgeniya Yekimovna SHUBINA</b> 01/01/1960 Belgorod Russian	Sergey Vladimirovich SHUBIN
2.	48789/09	21/08/2009	<b>Sergey Nikolayevich VOROBYEV</b> 10/11/1965 Saransk Russian	
3.	58611/09	17/10/2009	<b>Svetlana Yuryevna SAUTCHENKOVA</b> 27/12/1972 Krasnodar Russian	Vitaliy Fedorovich VASILYEV, a lawyer practising in the Krasnodar region
4.	31011/10	31/03/2010	<b>Svetlana Yuryevna SAUTCHENKOVA</b> 27/12/1972 Krasnodar Russian	Vitaliy Fedorovich VASILYEV, a lawyer practising in the Krasnodar region