

# EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

# FIRST SECTION

### DECISION

## Application no. 27151/07 Aleksandr Viktorovich SHTOGRIN against Russia

The European Court of Human Rights (First Section), sitting on 19 March 2013 as a Committee composed of:

Khanlar Hajiyev, *President,* Julia Laffranque,

Erik Møse, *judges*,

and André Wampach, Deputy Section Registrar,

Having regard to the above application lodged on 4 June 2007, Having deliberated, decides as follows:

# THE FACTS

The applicant, Mr Aleksandr Viktorovich Shtogrin, is a Russian national who was born in 1980 and lives in Blagoveshchensk, Amur Region.

The applicant complained under Articles 3 and 5 of the Convention about the conditions and length of his pre-trial detention pending criminal proceedings against him.

The application was communicated to the Government, who submitted their observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicant, who was invited to submit his own observations. No reply was received to the Registry's letter.

By letter dated 7 January 2011 sent by registered post, the applicant was notified that the period allowed for submission of his observations had expired on 19 November 2010 and that no extension of time had been requested. The applicant's attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the



Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. The applicant received this letter on 6 February 2011. However, he not responded to the Court to date.

### THE LAW

The Court considers that, in these circumstances, the applicant may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue his application, within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, the Court finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols which require the continued examination of the case.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court unanimously

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

André Wampach Deputy Registrar Khanlar Hajiyev President