

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

SECOND SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 46862/22
Blanka ZÖLDI
against Hungary

The European Court of Human Rights (Second Section), sitting on 4 December 2025 as a Committee composed of:

Stéphane Pisani*, President*,
Juha Lavapuro,
Hugh Mercer*, judges*,

and Attila Teplán, *Acting Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 24 September 2022,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant, Ms Blanka Zöldi, was born in 1990.

The applicant was represented by Mr T. Hüttl, a lawyer practising in Budapest.

The applicant's complaints under Article 10 of the Convention concerning her unsuccessful attempts, as an investigative journalist, to obtain information on details of public procurement were communicated to the Hungarian Government ("the Government") on 20 January 2025.

The Government's written observations on the admissibility and merits of the application were received by the Registry on 15 July 2025 and forwarded to the applicant's lawyer on 21 July 2025, via the Court's Electronic Communication Service ("eComms"). The applicant's lawyer was also invited to submit by 1 September 2025 written observations in reply on behalf of the applicant, together with any claim for just satisfaction.

The applicant's lawyer downloaded the Registry's letter of 21 July 2025 from eComms on the same day. However, he did not file observations or a claim for just satisfaction on behalf of the applicant within the time-limit set for that purpose. Nor did he seek an extension of that time-limit.

In a letter dated 11 September 2025 and sent to the applicant's lawyer via eComms on the same day, he was warned that the time-limit for submission

of the applicant's written observations and of any claim for just satisfaction had expired and that no extension of time had been requested. His attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike an application out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application.

The applicant's lawyer downloaded that letter from eComms on 16 September 2025 but has not replied. No communication has reached the Registry from the applicant's side since 4 March 2025.

THE LAW

In the light of the foregoing, and in the absence of any special circumstances regarding respect for the rights guaranteed by the Convention and the Protocols thereto, the Court, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, considers that it is no longer justified to continue the examination of the application.

Accordingly, the case should be struck out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

Done in English and notified in writing on 8 January 2026.

Attila Teplán Acting Deputy Registrar	Stéphane Pisani President