EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

FIRST SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 25359/23
VESTA NUOVA DOO
against Montenegro
(see appended table)

The European Court of Human Rights (First Section), sitting on 13 March 2025 as a Committee composed of:

Georgios A. Serghides, *President,*
Frédéric Krenc,
Alain Chablais, *judges,*
and Viktoriya Maradudina, *Acting Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 7 June 2023,

Having regard to the declaration submitted by the respondent Government requesting the Court to strike the application out of the list of cases,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant company's details are set out in the appended table. It was represented by Mr D. Marković, a lawyer practising in Podgorica.

The applicant company's complaints under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention concerning the excessive length of constitutional proceedings were communicated to the Montenegrin Government ("the Government").

THE LAW

After unsuccessful friendly-settlement negotiations, the Government informed the Court that they proposed to make a unilateral declaration with a view to resolving the issues raised by these complaints. They further requested the Court to strike out the application in accordance with Article 37 of the Convention.

The Government acknowledged the excessive length of the constitutional proceedings. They offered to pay the applicant the amounts detailed in the appended table and invited the Court to strike the application out of the list

of cases in accordance with Article 37 § 1 (c) of the Convention. The amounts would be payable within three months from the date of notification of the Court's decision. In the event of failure to pay these amounts within the above-mentioned three-month period, the Government undertook to pay simple interest on them, from the expiry of that period until settlement, at a rate equal to the marginal lending rate of the European Central Bank during the default period plus three percentage points.

The payment will constitute the final resolution of the case.

The applicant company was sent the terms of the Government's unilateral declaration several weeks before the date of this decision. The Court has not received a response from the applicant accepting the terms of the declaration.

The Court observes that Article 37 § 1 (c) enables it to strike a case out of its list if:

> "... for any other reason established by the Court, it is no longer justified to continue the examination of the application".

Thus, it may strike out an application under Article 37 § 1 (c) on the basis of a unilateral declaration by a respondent Government even if the applicant wishes the examination of the case to be continued (see, in particular, the *Tahsin Acar v. Turkey* judgment (preliminary objections) [GC], no. 26307/95, §§ 75-77, ECHR 2003-VI).

The Court has established clear and extensive case-law concerning complaints relating to the excessive length of proceedings (see, for example, *Stakić v. Montenegro,* no. 49320/07, §§ 45-61, 2 October 2012 and *Siništaj v. Montenegro* [Committee], no. 31529/15, § 32, 23 September 2021).

Noting the admissions contained in the Government's declaration as well as the amount of compensation proposed – which is consistent with the amounts awarded in similar cases – the Court considers that it is no longer justified to continue the examination of the application (Article 37 § 1 (c)).

In the light of the above considerations, the Court is satisfied that respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and the Protocols thereto does not require it to continue the examination of the application (Article 37 § 1 *in fine*).

Finally, the Court emphasises that, should the Government fail to comply with the terms of their unilateral declaration, the application may be restored to the list in accordance with Article 37 § 2 of the Convention (see *Josipović v. Serbia* (dec.), no. 18369/07, 4 March 2008).

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Takes note of the terms of the respondent Government's declaration and of the arrangements for ensuring compliance with the undertakings referred to therein;

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases in accordance with Article 37 § 1 (c) of the Convention.

Done in English and notified in writing on 3 April 2025.

Viktoriya Maradudina Acting Deputy Registrar	Georgios A. Serghides President

APPENDIX

Application raising complaints under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention (excessive length of constitutional proceedings)

Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Date of registration	Representative's name and location	Date of receipt of Government's declaration	Date of receipt of applicant's comments, if any	Amount awarded for non-pecuniary damage per applicant (in euros)[1]	Amount awarded for costs and expenses per application (in euros)[2]
25359/23 07/06/2023	**VESTA NUOVA DOO** 2002	Marković Dragan Podgorica	02/10/2024	-	1,080	250

[1] Plus any tax that may be chargeable to the applicant
[2] Plus any tax that may be chargeable to the applicant