

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

FIFTH SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 10039/05
Viktor Yuriyovych VEREMCHUK against Ukraine
and 2 other applications
(see list appended)

The European Court of Human Rights (Fifth Section), sitting on 15 December 2016 as a Committee composed of:

Khanlar Hajiyev, *President,*
Faris Vehabović,
Carlo Ranzoni, *judges,*
and Hasan Bakırcı, *Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above applications lodged on the various dates indicated in the appended table,

Having regard to the observations submitted by the respondent Government and the observations in reply submitted by some of the applicants,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The list of applicants and the relevant details of the applications are set out in the appended table.

The applicants' complaints under Articles 6 § 1 and 13 of the Convention concerning the excessive length of criminal proceedings and the lack of any effective remedy in domestic law were communicated to the Ukrainian Government ("the Government"). The applicants also raised other complaints under the provisions of the Convention.

THE LAW

A. Joinder of the applications

Having regard to the similar subject matter of the applications, the Court finds it appropriate to examine them jointly in a single decision.

B. Complaints under Articles 6 § 1 and 13 of the Convention (excessive length of criminal proceedings and lack of any effective remedy in domestic law)

The Court, having examined all the materials submitted to it and having regard to its case-law on the subject (see, for example, *Merit v. Ukraine*, no. 66561/01, 30 March 2004), considers that the applicants' complaints under this head are inadmissible since the length of the proceedings was not excessive or unreasonable.

In view of the above, the Court finds that these complaints are manifestly ill-founded and must be rejected in accordance with Article 35 §§ 3 and 4 of the Convention.

C. Remaining complaints

The applicants also raised other complaints under various articles of the Convention.

The Court has examined the applications listed in the appended table and considers that, in the light of all the material in its possession and in so far as the matters complained of are within its competence, these complaints either do not meet the admissibility criteria set out in Articles 34 and 35 of the Convention or do not disclose any appearance of a violation of the rights and freedoms enshrined in the Convention or the Protocols thereto.

It follows that this part of the applications must be rejected in accordance with Article 35 § 4 of the Convention.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Decides to join the applications;

Declares the applications inadmissible.

Done in English and notified in writing on 12 January 2017.

Hasan Bakırcı
Deputy Registrar

Khanlar Hajiyev
President

APPENDIX

List of applications raising complaints under Article 6 § 1 and Article 13 of the Convention
(excessive length of criminal proceedings and lack of any effective remedy in domestic law)

No.	Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant name Date of birth	Representative name and location	Start of proceedings	End of proceedings	Total length Level of jurisdiction
1.	10039/05 25/02/2005	**Viktor Yuriyovych VEREMCHUK**	**Vira Ivanivna Novozvanska** Lutsk	14/09/2001	29/07/2004	2 years, 10 months and 16 days 3 levels of jurisdiction
2.	17388/06 12/04/2006	**Aleksandr Valentinovich SYCHEV**		28/07/1999	02/08/1999	6 days 2 levels of jurisdiction
				04/08/2000	27/01/2001	5 months and 24 days 2 levels of jurisdiction
				15/02/2001	18/07/2003	2 years, 5 months and 4 days 2 levels of jurisdiction
				10/12/2003	30/03/2004	3 months and 21 days 2 levels of jurisdiction
3.	29165/06 23/03/2006	**Vladimir Konstantinovich SEVERYAKOV**		11/07/2003	22/12/2008	5 years, 5 months and 12 days 3 levels of jurisdiction