

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

SECOND SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 36682/07
by Ayşe ÜREK
against Turkey

The European Court of Human Rights (Second Section), sitting on 11 January 2011 as a Committee composed of:
Danutė Jočienė, *President,*
Nona Tsotsoria,
Guido Raimondi, *judges,*
and Françoise Elens-Passos, *Deputy Section Registrar,*
Having regard to the above application lodged on 17 August 2007,
Having deliberated, decides as follows:

PROCEDURE

The application was lodged by Ms Ayşe Ürek, a Turkish national who was born in 1956 and lives in İzmir. She is represented before the Court by Mr N. Erdem, a lawyer practising in İzmir. The Turkish Government ("the Government") were represented by their Agent.

The applicant's complaints concerning the length of the criminal proceedings were communicated to the Government, who submitted their observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicant, who was, invited to submit her observations. No reply was received to the Registry's letter.

By letter dated 23 June 2010, sent by registered post, the applicant's representative was notified that the period allowed for submission of her observations had expired on 24 May 2010 and that no extension of time had been requested. The applicant's representative's attention was drawn to

Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. However, no response has been received to date.

THE LAW

The Court considers that, in these circumstances, the applicant may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue her application, within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, the Court finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols which require the continued examination of the case.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court unanimously

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

Françoise Elens-Passos
Deputy Registrar

Danute Jociene
President