EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

# SECOND SECTION

# DECISION

Application no. 67713/12
Dursun SATICI
against Turkey

The European Court of Human Rights (Second Section), sitting on 5 November 2020 as a Committee composed of:

Branko Lubarda, *President,*
Carlo Ranzoni,
Pauliine Koskelo, *judges,*
and Liv Tigerstedt, *Acting Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 31 August 2012,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

## FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant, Mr Dursun Satıcı, was born in 1961.

The applicant was represented by Mr M. Doğan, a lawyer practising in Ankara.

The applicant's complaints under Article 6 § 1 and Article 8 of the Convention concerning his constitutional right to enjoy free access to the coastline and the alleged failure of the national authorities to enforce domestic court judgments ordering the demolition of illegal constructions on beaches which were found to prevent the public's access to the shoreline were communicated to the Turkish Government ("the Government"). They submitted observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicant, who was invited to submit his own observations. No reply was received to the Registry's letter.

By a letter dated 25 May 2020, sent by registered post, the applicant was notified that the period allowed for submission of his observations had expired on 4 March 2020 and that no extension of time had been requested. The applicant's attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike an application out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does

not intend to pursue the application. The applicant's representative received this letter on 12 June 2020. However, no response has followed.

## THE LAW

In the light of the foregoing, and in the absence of any special circumstances regarding respect for the rights guaranteed by the Convention and the Protocols thereto, the Court, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, considers that it is no longer justified to continue the examination of the application.

Accordingly, the case should be struck out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

*Decides* to strike the application out of its list of cases.

Done in English and notified in writing on 26 November 2020.

| | |
|---|---|
| Liv Tigerstedt | Branko Lubarda |
| Acting Deputy Registrar | President |