

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

THIRD SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 60997/08
Liliana PULBERE
against Romania

The European Court of Human Rights (Third Section), sitting on 6 November 2012 as a Committee composed of:

Alvina Gyulumyan, *President,*
Kristina Pardalos,
Johannes Silvis, *judges,*

and Marialena Tsirli, *Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 8 December 2008,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant, Ms Liliana Pulbere, is a Romanian national, who was born in 1965 and lives in Bucharest. She is represented before the Court by Ms Anişoara Stan, a lawyer practising in Bucarest.

The Romanian Government ("the Government") were represented by their Agent, Ms Irina Cambrea, from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

The applicant's complaints concerning her mother's death in pre-trial detention were communicated under Article 2 of the Convention to the Government, who submitted their observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicant, who was invited to submit her own observations. No reply was received to the Registry's letter.

By letter dated 21 June 2012, sent by registered post, both the applicant and her representative were notified that the period allowed for submission

of the applicant's observations had expired on 3 January 2012 and that no extension of time had been requested. Their attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. The applicant received this letter on 28 June 2012. Her representative received it on 5 July 2012. However, no response has been received.

THE LAW

The Court considers that, in these circumstances, the applicant may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue her application, within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, the Court finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols which require the continued examination of the case.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court unanimously

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

Marialena Tsirli	Alvina Gyulumyan
Deputy Registrar	President