EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

FOURTH SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 63585/14
Dinu PARFENI
against Romania

The European Court of Human Rights (Fourth Section), sitting on 12 January 2016 as a Committee composed of:

Vincent A. De Gaetano, *President,*
Egidijus Kūris,
Gabriele Kucsko-Stadlmayer, *judges,*
and Fatoş Aracı, *Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 15 September 2014,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant, Mr Dinu Parfeni, is a Romanian national, who was born in 1969 and lives in Iași. He was represented before the Court by Mr R. S. Cardoneanu, a lawyer practising in Iași.

The Romanian Government ("the Government") were represented by their Agent, Mrs C. Brumar, from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

The applicant complained under Article 6 of the Convention about the unfairness of the criminal proceedings. This complaint was communicated to the Government, who submitted their observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicant, who was invited to submit his own observations together with any claims for just satisfaction. No reply was received to the Registry's letter.

By letter dated 15 October 2015, sent by registered post, the applicant and his representative were notified that the period allowed for submission of observations had expired on 9 September 2015 and that no extension of time had been requested. Their attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its

list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. The applicant received this letter on 27 October 2015 and his representative on 5 November 2015. However, no response has been received.

THE LAW

The Court considers that, in these circumstances, the applicant may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue his application, within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, the Court finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols which require the continued examination of the case.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

Done in English and notified in writing on 4 February 2016.

Fatoş Aracı
Deputy Registrar

Vincent A. De Gaetano
President