EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

FIFTH SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 16273/22
Carolina May MULLIS and Kateřina MULLIS
against the Czech Republic
(see appended table)

The European Court of Human Rights (Fifth Section), sitting on 4 December 2025 as a Committee composed of:

Andreas Zünd*, President*,
Diana Sârcu,
Mykola Gnatovskyy*, judges*,

and Viktoriya Maradudina, *Acting Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 21 March 2022,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The list of the applicants is set out in the appendix.

The first (minor) applicant was first represented before the Court by her mother, the second applicant, then by Mr D. Strupek, lawyer practising in Prague.

The applicants' complaints under Articles 6 and 8 of the Convention and the first applicant's complaints under Article 2 of Protocol No. 1, Article 2 of Protocol No. 4 and Article 13 of the Convention, concerning the measures taken by the Czech authorities to combat the spread of the Covid-19 disease in the context of State emergency and the domestic courts' refusal to review their complaints against these measures, were communicated to the Czech Government ("the Government"), who submitted observations on the admissibility and merits.

On 5 June and 20 September 2024 the Court invited the applicants to appoint a legal representative of their choice or to seek leave for self-representation. No reply was received to this letter.

On 11 March 2025, with reference to the information and request submitted by the Government, the Court decided that the Czech Bar

Association should be requested to appoint a lawyer to represent the first applicant in the proceedings before the Court.

On 4 June 2025 the Government informed the Court that on 25 May 2025 the Czech Bar Association appointed Mr Strupek, lawyer practising in Prague, as the first applicant's representative.

On 16 September 2025 Mr Strupek informed the Court that the first applicant no longer wished to pursue her application. He attached a duly signed authority form which specified that he was authorised to withdraw the application on behalf of the first applicant.

By a letter of 9 October 2025, sent via the Court's Electronic Communication Service (e-Comms), the Court again invited the second applicant to designate a representative for the proceedings before the Court, by 3 November 2025. Her attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike an application out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. The second applicant received that letter on 13 October 2025. However, no response followed.

THE LAW

In the light of the foregoing, and in the absence of any special circumstances regarding respect for the rights guaranteed by the Convention and the Protocols thereto, the Court, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, considers that it is no longer justified to continue the examination of the application.

Accordingly, the case should be struck out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

Done in English and notified in writing on 8 January 2026.

Viktoriya Maradudina	Andreas Zünd
Acting Deputy Registrar	President

APPENDIX

Application raising complaints under Articles 6, 8 and 13 of the Convention, Article 2 of Protocol No. 1 and Article 2 of Protocol No. 4 to the Convention

Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Representative's name and location	Date of the applicant's last letter to the Court	Date of the Court's registered letter	Date of receipt of the registered letter	Date by which submissions were requested by the Court
16273/22 21/03/2022	Carolina May Mullis 2009	D. Strupek, Prague	29/09/2025	-	-	-
	Kateřina Mullis 1977		21/03/2022	09/10/2025	13/10/2025	03/11/2025