EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

SECOND SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 31275/24
Károly MONOKI and Others
against Hungary
(see appended table)

The European Court of Human Rights (Second Section), sitting on 5 February 2026 as a Committee composed of:

Stéphane Pisani*, President*,
Juha Lavapuro,
Hugh Mercer*, judges*,

and Attila Teplán, *Acting Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application against Hungary lodged with the Court under Article 34 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms ("the Convention") on 21 October 2024,

Having regard to the declaration submitted by the respondent Government requesting the Court to strike the application out of the list of cases,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The list of applicants is set out in the appended table.

The applicants were represented by Mr I. Barbalics, a lawyer practising in Budapest.

The applicants' complaints under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention concerning the excessive length of civil proceedings were communicated to the Hungarian Government ("the Government"). Complaints based on the same facts were also communicated under other provisions of the Convention.

THE LAW

After unsuccessful friendly-settlement negotiations, the Government informed the Court that they proposed to make unilateral declaration with a view to resolving the issues raised by these complaints. They further

requested the Court to strike out the application in accordance with Article 37 of the Convention.

The Government acknowledged the excessive length of civil proceedings. They further acknowledged that the domestic authorities had violated the applicants' rights guaranteed by other provisions of the Convention. They offered to pay the applicants the amount detailed in the appended table and invited the Court to strike the application out of the list of cases in accordance with Article 37 § 1 (c) of the Convention. The amount would be converted into the currency of the respondent State at the rate applicable on the date of payment, and would be payable within three months from the date of notification of the Court's decision. In the event of failure to pay this amount within the above-mentioned three-month period, the Government undertook to pay simple interest on it, from the expiry of that period until settlement, at a rate equal to the marginal lending rate of the European Central Bank during the default period plus three percentage points.

The payment will constitute the final resolution of the case.

The applicants were sent the terms of the Government's unilateral declaration several weeks before the date of this decision. The Court has not received a response from the applicants accepting the terms of the declaration.

The Court observes that Article 37 § 1 (c) enables it to strike a case out of its list if:

> "... for any other reason established by the Court, it is no longer justified to continue the examination of the application".

Thus, it may strike out applications under Article 37 § 1 (c) on the basis of a unilateral declaration by a respondent Government even if the applicants wish the examination of the case to be continued (see, in particular, the *Tahsin Acar v. Turkey* judgment (preliminary objections) [GC], no. 26307/95, §§ 75-77, ECHR 2003-VI).

The Court has established clear and extensive case-law concerning complaints relating to the excessive length of civil proceedings (see, for example, *Gazsó v. Hungary,* no. 48322/12, 16 July 2015 and *Csatári and Others v. Hungary* [Committee], no. 18514/24, 20 May 2025).

Noting the admissions contained in the Government's declaration as well as the amount of compensation proposed – which is consistent with the amounts awarded in similar cases – the Court considers that it is no longer justified to continue the examination of the application (Article 37 § 1 (c)).

In the light of the above considerations, the Court is satisfied that respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and the Protocols thereto does not require it to continue the examination of the application (Article 37 § 1 *in fine*).

Finally, the Court emphasises that, should the Government fail to comply with the terms of their unilateral declaration, the application may be restored

to the list in accordance with Article 37 § 2 of the Convention (*Josipović v. Serbia* (dec.), no. 18369/07, 4 March 2008).

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Takes note of the terms of the respondent Government's declaration and of the arrangements for ensuring compliance with the undertakings referred to therein;

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases in accordance with Article 37 § 1 (c) of the Convention.

Done in English and notified in writing on 26 February 2026.

Attila Teplán Acting Deputy Registrar	Stéphane Pisani President

APPENDIX

Application raising complaints under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention (excessive length of civil proceedings)

Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Other complaints under well-established case-law	Date of receipt of Government's declaration	Date of receipt of applicant's comments, if any	Amount awarded for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage and costs and expenses per applicant (in euros)[1]
31275/24 21/10/2024 (134 applicants)	**Károly MONOKI** 1956 **Lajos Károlyné ÁBRI** 1958 **Balázsné ÁCS** 1965 **Tamás Györgyné ANDREKOVICS** 1950 **Erzsébet BAKONYINÉ LÉKÓ** 1960 **Istvánné BÁLINT** 1941 **Jánosné BALOG** 1945 **Lukácsné BARABÁS** 1943 **József BÁRÁNDI** 1955 **Bálnt BARANYA** 1966 **Sándorné BARÁT** 1936 **István BÁRDI** 1949 **Zoltán Józsefné BERTALAN** 1956 **László BÍRÓ** 1961 **Istvánné BOLGOVICS** 1946 **Sándor István BORÓK** 1967 **Lukács BOTOS** 1946 **Sándor BOZÓ** 1955 **Lajos CSÍKI** 1958 **Ernő CZAKÓ** 1942 **Mihályné DANYI** 1956 **Istvánné DEÁK** 1956 **Lajos DEMETER** 1949 **István DOMÁNY** 1958 **Julianna ECSEKINÉ MOLDVAI** 1958 **Lajosné ÉRI** 1938 **Attila Péter ERNESZT** 1978	Art. 13 - lack of any effective remedy in domestic law in respect of excessive length of civil proceedings	06/11/2025	09/12/2025	200

Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Other complaints under well-established case-law	Date of receipt of Government's declaration	Date of receipt of applicant's comments, if any	Amount awarded for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage and costs and expenses per applicant (in euros)[1]
	Balázs Árpád ERNESZT 1989 **Csaba ERNESZT** 1980 **Lajos ERNESZT** 1978 **Sándor FÁBIÁN** 1963 **Imre FARKAS** 1935 **Ferencné FAZEKAS** 1941 **Lajos FEHÉR** 1972 **Pálné FEHÉR** 1935 **Zoltánné FEHÉR** 1970 **László FEJÉR** 1957 **Kálmán FODOR** 1957 **Józsefné GÁL** 1932 **Lajosné GÁL** 1962 **Gyula GÓDOR** 1957 **Ferenc Gáborné GOLYÁN** 1957 **Ferencné GULYÁS** 1942 **Valéria GYETVAI** 1965 **Aranka HANGAYNÉ FARAGÓ** 1962 **Judit HEGYMEGINÉ FEHÉR** 1974 **Miklósné HÉJA** 1945 **Tünde HÉJA** 1972 **Ágnes HŐGYE** 1973 **Piroska HŐGYE** 1962 **István Csaba JAKAB** 1960 **Istvánné JAKAB** 1968 **József JAKAB** 1952 **Miklósné JÁMBOR** 1960 **Endre Lajos JÓZSA** 1974 **Péter JÓZSA** 1984 **István Péter KALMÁR** 1954 **Dezső KATONA** 1949				

Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Other complaints under well-established case-law	Date of receipt of Government's declaration	Date of receipt of applicant's comments, if any	Amount awarded for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage and costs and expenses per applicant (in euros)[1]
	József KATONA 1944 **Sándor KATONA** 1962 **Józef Imréné KEŐ** 1948 **József KEŐ** 1975 **Endre KIS** 1955 **Ferenc KIS** 1948 **Endréné KISS** 1953 **Gáborné KISS** 1966 **István KISS** 1959 **Kálmánné KISS** 1945 **Zoltán KISS** 1974 **Istvánné KISZELY** 1947 **János Géza KLUJBER** 1968 **Ferenc KÓCZIÁN** 1969 **Zoltán KÓCZIÁN** 1971 **György KOCZKA** 1973 **Dóra KOVÁCS** 1981 **Józsefné KOVÁCS** 1953 **Lajos KOVÁCS** 1956 **Pál KOVÁCS** 1951 **Sándor KOVÁCS** 1964 **Judit KOVÁCSNÉ OSZLÁNCZKI** 1973 **Béla KUN** 1950 **Sándorné LACZKA** 1951 **Istvánné LÁNYI** 1954 **Imre LENGE** 1945 **Margit LENGÉNÉ TATÁR** 1973 **János LIMBÓCZKI** 1962 **János LOVAS** 1939 **Katalin LOVAS** 1954 **Sándor LOVAS** 1957				

Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Other complaints under well-established case-law	Date of receipt of Government's declaration	Date of receipt of applicant's comments, if any	Amount awarded for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage and costs and expenses per applicant (in euros)[1]
	Béláné LUKÁCS 1951 **Jánosné MAKAI** 1946 **Ferenc MÁRKI** 1958 **Zoltán MARSI** 1975 **János Istvánné MESTER** 1951 **Béláné MÉSZÁROS** 1951 **Lászlóné MICSINAI** 1945 **András MOLNÁR** 1953 **Benedek Attila MOLNÁR** 1953 **Sándor MOLNÁR** 1958 **Kálmán MONOKI** 1956 **Kálmán NAGY** 1944 **László NAGY** 1946 **Attila NYESŐ** 1963 **Jánosné NYESŐ** 1960 **Mihály János NYESŐ** 1955 **Mihályné NYESŐ** 1934 **Attila OROS** 1975 **Józsefné OROS** 1951 **Tiborné PAJTI** 1976 **Sándorné PÁNTI** 1971 **Áron László POCSAI** 1943 **Jánosné POSTÁS** 1939 **Sándorné PUSKÁS** 1952 **Attiláné RIMÓCZI** 1957 **László RIMÓCZI** 1953 **Imre SÁFÁR** 1963 **Gábor SÁRKÁNY** 1990 **Imre SÁRKÁNY** 1974 **Sándor Endre SÁRKÁNY** 1976 **Katalin SÁRKÁNYNÉ SZABÓ** 1974				

Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Other complaints under well-established case-law	Date of receipt of Government's declaration	Date of receipt of applicant's comments, if any	Amount awarded for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage and costs and expenses per applicant (in euros)[1]
	Györgyné SERES 1958 **Jánosné SZABÓ** 1945 **Kálmán SZABÓ** 1949 **László SZABÓ** 1958 **Lászlóné SZABÓ** 1948 **Csaba SZOLLÁR** 1965 **Miklós SZOLLÁR** 1958 **Tünde SZOMBATINÉ MONOKI** 1963 **Pálné TÁNCZOS** 1951 **Kálmánné TÖRŐCSIK** 1958 **Imre TÓTH** 1971 **Mária Ildikó VÁRADINÉ ERNESZT** 1982 **Imréné VÉGH** 1955 **Zsolt Istvánné ZSOLDOS** 1953				

[1] Plus any tax that may be chargeable to the applicants.