

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

FIFTH SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 14859/07
Astrid KRÄMER
against Germany

The European Court of Human Rights (Fifth Section), sitting on 2 July 2013 as a Committee composed of:

Ganna Yudkivska, *President,*
Angelika Nußberger,
André Potocki, *judges,*

and Stephen Phillips, *Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 28 March 2010,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant, Ms Astrid Krämer, is a German national, who was born in 1961 and lives in Bersenbrück.

The German Government ("the Government") were represented by their Agent, Mr H.-J. Behrens, of the Federal Ministry of Justice.

The applicant complained, in particular, that the obligation to tolerate the exercise of the hunt on her property and her compulsory membership of a hunting association violated her right to the peaceful enjoyment of her property.

The applicant's complaints under Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 of the Convention were communicated to the Government, who submitted their observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicant, who was invited to submit her just satisfaction claims. No reply was received to the Registry's letter.

By letter dated 5 April 2013, sent by registered post, the applicant was notified that the period allowed for submission of her observations had expired on 13 March 2013 and that no extension of time had been requested. The applicant's attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. The applicant received this letter on 16 April 2013. However, no response has been received.

THE LAW

The Court considers that, in these circumstances, the applicant may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue her application, within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, the Court finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols which require the continued examination of the case.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court unanimously

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

Stephen Phillips
Deputy Registrar

Ganna Yudkivska
President