EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

THIRD SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 7285/07
Dmitriy Valeryevich KOBLOV
against Russia

The European Court of Human Rights (Third Section), sitting on 22 March 2016 as a Committee composed of:

Helena Jäderblom, *President,*
Dmitry Dedov,
Branko Lubarda, *judges,*

and Stephen Phillips, *Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 22 December 2006,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant, Mr Dmitriy Valeryevich Koblov, is a Russian national, who was born in 1987 and lives in Murom, Vladimir region.

The Russian Government ("the Government") were represented by Mr G. Matyuskin, Representative of the Russian Federation at the European Court of Human Rights.

The applicant complained under Article 3 of the Convention that he had been subjected to inhuman and degrading treatment by police officers and that no effective investigation into his complaints had been carried out by the competent authorities.

The applicant's complaints were communicated to the Government, which submitted their observations on the admissibility and merits. On 20 February 2015 the observations were forwarded to the applicant, who was invited to submit his observations. No reply was received to the Registry's letter.

By letter dated 1 July 2015, sent by registered post, the applicant was notified that the period allowed for submission of his observations had

expired on 24 April 2015 and that no extension of time had been requested. The applicant's attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. The applicant received this letter on 17 July 2015. No response has been received.

THE LAW

The Court considers that, in these circumstances, the applicant may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue his application, within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, the Court finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols which require the continued examination of the case.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

Done in English and notified in writing on 21 April 2016.

Stephen Phillips
Registrar

Helena Jäderblom
President