EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

THIRD SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 2865/24
Emin IBRAHIMOV
against Azerbaijan
(see appended table)

The European Court of Human Rights (Third Section), sitting on 12 March 2026 as a Committee composed of:

Diana Kovatcheva*, President*,
Canòlic Mingorance Cairat,
Vasilka Sancin*, judges*,

and Viktoriya Maradudina, *Acting Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application against the Republic of Azerbaijan lodged with the Court under Article 34 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms ("the Convention") on 23 January 2024,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant, Mr Emin Shaig oglu Ibrahimov, was born in 1981. He was represented by Mr S. Rahimli, a lawyer practising in Baku.

The applicant's complaints under Articles 6 and 10 of the Convention concerning the alleged unfairness of the domestic proceedings and violation of the right to freedom of expression were communicated to the Azerbaijani Government ("the Government"), who submitted observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicant, who was invited to submit his own observations. By a letter dated 15 December 2025, the applicant's representative successfully asked the Court to extend the time-limit to submit observations and just satisfaction claims to 25 December 2025. From 25 to 30 December 2025 the applicant's representative sent several letters to the Court, requesting short extensions. In reply, the Court set a new time-limit expiring on 8 January 2026 for the applicant to submit his observations and just satisfaction claims. The

applicant's representative received that letter. However, the Registry did not receive any such observations from the applicant by the deadline.

By letter dated 12 January 2026, sent through the Court's Electronic Communication Service (eComms), the applicant was notified that the period allowed for submission of the observations had expired on 8 January 2026 and that no further extension of time had been requested. The applicant's attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike an application out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. The applicant's representative received that letter on the same day. However, no response followed.

THE LAW

In the light of the foregoing, and in the absence of any special circumstances regarding respect for the rights guaranteed by the Convention and the Protocols thereto, the Court, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, considers that it is no longer justified to continue the examination of the application.

Accordingly, the case should be struck out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

Done in English and notified in writing on 2 April 2026.

Viktoriya Maradudina Acting Deputy Registrar	Diana Kovatcheva President

APPENDIX

Application raising complaints under Articles 6 and 10 of the Convention (the unfairness of the domestic proceedings and a violation of the right to freedom of expression)

Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Representative's name and location	Date of the applicant's last letter to the Court	Date of the Court's strike-out warning letter	Date by which submissions were requested by the Court
2865/24 23/01/2024	**Emin** **Shaig oglu** **Ibrahimov** 1981	**Samad Rahimli** Baku	30/12/2025	12/01/2026	08/01/2026