EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

THIRD SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 54888/15
Karol HAMI
against Slovakia

The European Court of Human Rights (Third Section), sitting on 28 June 2016 as a Committee composed of:

Helen Keller, *President,*
Johannes Silvis,
Alena Poláčková, *judges,*
and Fatoş Aracı, *Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 26 October 2015,

Having regard to the formal declarations accepting a friendly settlement of the case,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant, Mr Karol Hami, is a Slovak national, who was born in 1970 and lives in Malý Horeš. He was represented before the Court by Mr B. Reken, a lawyer practising in Bratislava.

The Government of the Slovak Republic ("the Government") were represented by their Agent, Ms M. Pirošíková.

The applicant complained under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention about the length of his criminal proceedings.

On 12 and 28 April 2016 the Court received friendly settlement declarations signed by the parties under which the applicant agreed to waive any further claims against Slovakia in respect of the facts giving rise to this application against an undertaking by the Government to pay him 5,200 euros to cover any pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage as well as costs and expenses. This sum will be free of any taxes that may be applicable and it will be payable within three months from the date

of notification of the decision taken by the Court. In the event of failure to pay this sum within the said three-month period, the Government undertook to pay simple interest on it, from the expiry of that period until settlement, at a rate equal to the marginal lending rate of the European Central Bank during the default period plus three percentage points. The payment will constitute the final resolution of the case.

THE LAW

The Court takes note of the friendly settlement reached between the parties. It is satisfied that the settlement is based on respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols and finds no reasons to justify a continued examination of the application. In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases in accordance with Article 39 of the Convention.

Done in English and notified in writing on 21 July 2016.

Fatoş Aracı
Deputy Registrar

Helen Keller
President