EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

SECOND SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 29602/25
Gábor ENGYEL against Hungary
and 9 other applications
(see appended table)

The European Court of Human Rights (Second Section), sitting on 2 July 2026 as a Committee composed of:

Stéphane Pisani*, President*,
Juha Lavapuro,
Hugh Mercer*, judges*,

and Attila Teplán, *Acting Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above applications against Hungary lodged with the Court under Article 34 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms ("the Convention") on the various dates indicated in the appended table,

Having regard to the formal declarations accepting a friendly settlement of the cases,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The list of applicants and their representatives is set out in the appended table.

The applicants' complaints under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention concerning the excessive length of criminal proceedings were communicated to the Hungarian Government ("the Government"). In some of the applications, complaints based on the same facts were also communicated under other provisions of the Convention.

The Court received friendly-settlement declarations, signed by the parties, under which the applicants agreed to waive any further claims against Hungary in respect of the facts giving rise to these applications, subject to an undertaking by the Government to pay them the amounts detailed in the appended table. These amounts will be converted into the currency of the respondent State at the rate applicable on the date of payment, and will be

payable within three months from the date of notification of the Court's decision. In the event of failure to pay these amounts within the above-mentioned three-month period, the Government undertake to pay simple interest on them, from the expiry of that period until settlement, at a rate equal to the marginal lending rate of the European Central Bank during the default period plus three percentage points.

The payment will constitute the final resolution of the cases.

THE LAW

Having regard to the similar subject matter of the applications, the Court finds it appropriate to examine them jointly in a single decision.

The Court takes note of the friendly settlement reached between the parties. It is satisfied that the settlement is based on respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and the Protocols thereto and finds no reasons to justify a continued examination of the applications.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the cases out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Decides to join the applications;

Decides to strike the applications out of its list of cases in accordance with Article 39 of the Convention.

Done in English and notified in writing on 27 August 2026.

Attila Teplán Acting Deputy Registrar	Stéphane Pisani President

APPENDIX

List of applications raising complaints under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention (excessive length of criminal proceedings)

No.	Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Representative's name and location	Other complaints under well-established case-law	Date of receipt of Government's declaration	Date of receipt of Applicant's declaration	Amount awarded for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage and costs and expenses per applicant (in euros)[1]
1.	29602/25 15/09/2025	**Gábor ENGYEL** 1990	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest	Art. 13 - lack of any effective remedy in domestic law in respect of excessive length of criminal proceedings	18/05/2026	23/01/2026	2,600
2.	31762/25 07/10/2025	**Zsoltné OLÁH** 1991	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest	Art. 13 - lack of any effective remedy in domestic law in respect of excessive length of criminal proceedings	18/05/2026	10/02/2026	2,000
3.	31828/25 02/10/2025	**Szilveszter GALYAS** 1994	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest	Art. 13 - lack of any effective remedy in domestic law in respect of excessive length of criminal proceedings	18/05/2026	13/01/2026	2,600
4.	32457/25 08/10/2025	**Csaba KASSAI** 1973	Szabó Gábor Göd	Art. 13 - lack of any effective remedy in domestic law in respect of excessive length of criminal proceedings	01/06/2026	16/02/2026	1,800
5.	34515/25 25/10/2025	**Mihály BALÁZS** 1995	Szabó Gábor Göd	Art. 13 - lack of any effective remedy in domestic law in respect of excessive length of criminal proceedings	27/05/2026	20/04/2026	2,600

No.	Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Representative's name and location	Other complaints under well-established case-law	Date of receipt of Government's declaration	Date of receipt of Applicant's declaration	Amount awarded for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage and costs and expenses per applicant (in euros)[1]
6.	39291/25 02/12/2025	**Ernő HORVÁTH** 1979	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest	Art. 13 - lack of any effective remedy in domestic law in respect of excessive length of criminal proceedings	26/05/2026	08/04/2026	1,800
7.	39393/25 11/12/2025	**Zsolt LAKATOS** 1996	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest	Art. 13 - lack of any effective remedy in domestic law in respect of excessive length of criminal proceedings	22/05/2026	16/04/2026	3,300
8.	2096/26 06/01/2026	**Mihály Zoltán RÓTH** 1967	Ujvári Tamás Budapest		27/05/2026	22/04/2026	2,000
9.	2325/26 12/01/2026	**Ernő BÓDI** 1965	Csanádi Krisztián Szeged		27/05/2026	15/04/2026	2,000
10.	4738/26 21/01/2026	**Marcell József KIRÁLY** 2001	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest	Art. 13 - lack of any effective remedy in domestic law in respect of excessive length of criminal proceedings	26/05/2026	27/04/2026	1,800

[1] Plus any tax that may be chargeable to the applicants.