EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

FIRST SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 35352/23
Csaba László DANYI against Hungary
and 9 other applications
(see appended table)

The European Court of Human Rights (First Section), sitting on 19 September 2024 as a Committee composed of:

Krzysztof Wojtyczek*, President*,
Lətif Hüseynov,
Erik Wennerström*, judges*
and Attila Teplán, *Acting Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above applications lodged on the various dates indicated in the appended table,

Having regard to the formal declarations accepting a friendly settlement of the cases,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The list of applicants and their representatives is set out in the appended table.

The applicants' complaints under Article 5 § 3 of the Convention concerning the excessive length of pre-trial detention were communicated to the Hungarian Government ("the Government"). In some of the applications, complaints based on the same facts were also communicated under other provisions of the Convention.

The Court received friendly-settlement declarations, signed by the parties, under which the applicants agreed to waive any further claims against Hungary in respect of the facts giving rise to these applications, subject to an undertaking by the Government to pay them the amounts detailed in the appended table. These amounts will be converted into the currency of the respondent State at the rate applicable on the date of payment, and will be payable within three months from the date of notification of the Court's decision. In the event of failure to pay these amounts within the

above-mentioned three-month period, the Government undertake to pay simple interest on them, from the expiry of that period until settlement, at a rate equal to the marginal lending rate of the European Central Bank during the default period plus three percentage points.

The payment will constitute the final resolution of the cases.

THE LAW

Having regard to the similar subject matter of the applications, the Court finds it appropriate to examine them jointly in a single decision.

The Court takes note of the friendly settlement reached between the parties. It is satisfied that the settlement is based on respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and the Protocols thereto and finds no reasons to justify a continued examination of the applications.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the cases out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Decides to join the applications;

Decides to strike the applications out of its list of cases in accordance with Article 39 of the Convention.

Done in English and notified in writing on 10 October 2024.

Attila Teplán Acting Deputy Registrar	Krzysztof Wojtyczek President

APPENDIX

List of applications raising complaints under Article 5 § 3 of the Convention (excessive length of pre-trial detention)

No.	Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Representative's name and location	Other complaints under well-established case-law	Date of receipt of Government's declaration	Date of receipt of Applicant's declaration	Amount awarded for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage and costs and expenses per applicant (in euros)[1]
1.	35352/23 11/09/2023	**Csaba László DANYI** 1980	Frank Evelyn Budapest	Art. 13 - lack of any effective remedy in domestic law in respect of excessive length of criminal proceedings. Art. 6 (1) - excessive length of criminal proceedings - Criminal proceedings have been ongoing since 26/11/2020, at one level of jurisdiction.	04/07/2024	27/03/2024	5,200
2.	37975/23 03/10/2023	**Levente JANCSÓ** 1995	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest	Art. 5 (4) - deficiencies in proceedings for review of the lawfulness of detention - Significant delays or irregularities in the judicial review of his pre-trial detention, as his detention was last subject to judicial review on 22/02/2023 acting as a second instance court and upholding the first instance decision on his detention's prolongation.	21/06/2024	29/04/2024	4,900
3.	37976/23 05/10/2023	**László ERDÉLYI** 1975	Frank Evelyn Budapest		07/05/2024	21/06/2024	4,600
4.	40724/23 07/11/2023	**Ferenc József LIKAVCSÁN** 1954	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest		04/07/2024	27/03/2024	5,100
5.	42770/23 21/11/2023	**Milán Balázs BUDAI** 1983	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest		19/06/2024	03/04/2024	3,800
6.	1282/24 08/12/2023	**Róbert LAKATOS** 1976	Frank Evelyn Budapest		04/07/2024	18/04/2024	3,900

No.	Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Representative's name and location	Other complaints under well-established case-law	Date of receipt of Government's declaration	Date of receipt of Applicant's declaration	Amount awarded for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage and costs and expenses per applicant (in euros)[1]
7.	3305/24 24/01/2024	**Veronika LAKATOS** 1997	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest		04/07/2024	09/04/2024	4,000
8.	4403/24 01/02/2024	**Tarek ZAGDOUDI** 1982	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest	Art. 5 (4) - deficiencies in proceedings for review of the lawfulness of detention - The Budapest Court of Appeal failed to carry out the ex officio judicial review of the grounds of the applicant's continued arrest after 1 year.	25/07/2024	10/07/2024	4,000
9.	4853/24 01/02/2024	**László HORVÁTH** 1955	Frank Evelyn Budapest		29/07/2024	18/07/2024	3,900
10.	4868/24 01/02/2024	**Elfitouri DBIRA** 1978	Kiss Dániel Bálint Budapest	Art. 5 (4) - deficiencies in proceedings for review of the lawfulness of detention - The Budapest Court of Appeal failed to carry out the ex officio judicial review of the grounds of the applicant's continued arrest after 1 year.	25/07/2024	03/05/2024	4,000

[1] Plus any tax that may be chargeable to the applicants.