

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

THIRD SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 19158/07
Doina CLITAN
against Romania

The European Court of Human Rights (Third Section), sitting on 19 June 2012 as a Committee composed of:

Alvina Gyulumyan, *President,*
Ineta Ziemele,
Kristina Pardalos, *judges,*
and Marialena Tsirli, *Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 20 April 2007,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

THE FACTS

The applicant, Ms Doina Clitan, is a Romanian national, who was born in 1955 and lives in Timisoara.

The Romanian Government ("the Government") were represented by their Agent, Ms Irina Cambrea, from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

The applicant complained under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention about the alleged infringement of her effective access to court due to the use of service by affixation.

The applicant's complaint was communicated to the Government, who submitted their observations on the admissibility and merits. The applicant did not reply to the Registry's letter of 17 May 2011 concerning her request for legal aid. By letter dated 16 June 2011, sent by registered post, the applicant was invited either to reply to the letter of 17 May 2011 or to inform the Court whether she wished to pursue her application by

7 July 2011. The applicant's attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application.

The applicant received this letter on 5 July 2011. However, no response has been received.

THE LAW

The Court considers that, in these circumstances, the applicant may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue her application, within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, the Court finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols which require the continued examination of the case.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court unanimously

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

Marialena Tsirli Deputy Registrar	Alvina Gyulumyan President