EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

SECOND SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 7767/25
László BUKNICZ
against Hungary
(see appended table)

The European Court of Human Rights (Second Section), sitting on 4 December 2025 as a Committee composed of:

Stéphane Pisani*, President*,
Juha Lavapuro,
Hugh Mercer*, judges*,

and Attila Teplán, *Acting Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 4 March 2025,

Having regard to the observations submitted by the respondent Government and the observations in reply submitted by the applicant,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant's details are set out in the appended table.

The applicant was represented by Mr G. Szabó, a lawyer practising in Göd.

The applicant's complaints under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention concerning the excessive length of criminal proceedings were communicated to the Hungarian Government ("the Government"). Complaints based on the same facts were also communicated under Article 13 of the Convention.

THE LAW

A. Complaints under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention (excessive length of criminal proceedings)

In the present application, having examined all the material before it, the Court considers that for the reasons stated below, the respondent Government cannot be held liable for the protractedness of the proceedings.

In particular, the Court notes that the case lasted less than four years and three months for two judicial instances, which cannot be considered excessive.

In view of the above, the Court finds that these complaints are manifestly ill-founded and must be rejected in accordance with Article 35 §§ 3 and 4 of the Convention.

B. Remaining complaints

The applicant also raised complaints under Article 13 of the Convention.

The Court has examined the application and considers that, in the light of all the material in its possession and in so far as the matters complained of are within its competence, these complaints either do not meet the admissibility criteria set out in Articles 34 and 35 of the Convention or do not disclose any appearance of a violation of the rights and freedoms enshrined in the Convention or the Protocols thereto.

It follows that this part of the application must be rejected in accordance with Article 35 § 4 of the Convention.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

Declares the application inadmissible.

Done in English and notified in writing on 8 January 2026.

Attila Teplán Acting Deputy Registrar	Stéphane Pisani President

APPENDIX

Application raising complaints under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention (excessive length of criminal proceedings)

Application no. Date of introduction	Applicant's name Year of birth	Representative's name and location	Start of proceedings	End of proceedings	Total length Levels of jurisdiction	Other complaints under well-established case-law
7767/25 04/03/2025	**László BUKNICZ** 1986	Szabó Gábor Göd	08/04/2021	18/06/2025	4 year(s) and 2 month(s) and 11 day(s) 2 level(s) of jurisdiction	Art. 13 - lack of any effective remedy in domestic law in respect of excessive length of criminal proceedings