

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

FIRST SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 13477/07
Aleksandr Lvovich ARONOV
against Russia

The European Court of Human Rights (First Section), sitting on 29 January 2013 as a Committee composed of:

Khanlar Hajiyev, *President,*
Julia Laffranque,
Dmitry Dedov, *judges,*

and André Wampach, *Deputy Section Registrar,*

Having regard to the above application lodged on 29 December 2006,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

PROCEDURE

The application was lodged by Mr Aleksandr Lvovich Aronov, an Israeli national who was born in 1954 and lives in Haifa. He is represented before the Court by Mr V. Mitin, a lawyer practising in Novosibirsk. The Russian Government ("the Government") were represented by their Agent, Mr G. Matyushkin, the Representative of the Russian Federation at the European Court of Human Rights.

The applicant's complaint concerning the quashing of a domestic judgment in his favour was communicated to the Government, who submitted their observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicant, who was invited to submit his own observations. No reply was received to the Registry's letter.

By letter dated 3 July 2009, sent by registered post, the applicant's representative was notified that the period allowed for submission of the

observations had expired on 3 February 2009 and that no extension of time had been requested. The applicant's representative's attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. The applicant's representative received this letter on 28 July 2009. However, no response has been received.

By letter dated 27 November 2009, sent by registered post, the applicant himself was also notified that the period allowed for submission of his observations had expired on 3 February 2009 and that no extension of time had been requested. The applicant's attention was also drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike a case out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the applicant does not intend to pursue the application. No response has been received to that letter.

THE LAW

The Court considers that, in these circumstances, the applicant may be regarded as no longer wishing to pursue his application, within the meaning of Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention. Furthermore, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 *in fine*, the Court finds no special circumstances regarding respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols which require the continued examination of the case.

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court unanimously

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

André Wampach
Deputy Registrar

Khanlar Hajiyev
President