



Judgments of 7 Avril 2026

The European Court of Human Rights has today notified in writing six judgments¹:

one Chamber judgment is summarised below;

five Committee judgments, concerning issues which have already been submitted to the Court, can be consulted on [Hudoc](#) and do not appear in this press release.

The judgment summarised below is available only in English.

[Cekić v. Serbia](#) (application no. 19083/20)

The applicant, Miloš Cekić, is a Serbian national who was born in 1973 and is currently serving a prison sentence in Požarevac-Zabela Correctional Institution (Serbia) for robbery.

The case concerns the conditions of Mr Cekić's detention when serving the first part of his prison sentence at Sremska Mitrovica Correctional Institution and the adequacy of medical treatment he received there.

Relying on Article 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment) of the European Convention on Human Rights, Mr Cekić complains that he was detained in inadequate conditions while in solitary confinement and while subjected to enhanced supervision, and that his cell during enhanced supervision was overcrowded. He also complains that after spending time in those conditions he contracted tuberculosis and was not provided with adequate medical treatment.

No violation of Article 3 in the context of the applicant's infection with tuberculosis and the treatment provided to him

This press release is a document produced by the Registry. It does not bind the Court. Decisions, judgments and further information about the Court can be found on www.echr.coe.int.

Follow the Court on Bluesky [@echr.coe.int](#), X [ECHR_CEDH](#), [LinkedIn](#), and [YouTube](#).

Contact [ECHRPress](#) to subscribe to the press-release mailing list.

Where can the Court's press releases be found? [HUDOC - Press collection](#)

Press contacts

echrpress@echr.coe.int | tel.: +33 3 90 21 42 08

We are happy to receive journalists' enquiries via either email or telephone.

Tracey Turner-Tretz (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 35 30)

Denis Lambert (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 41 09)

Inci Ertekin (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 55 30)

¹ Under Articles 43 and 44 of the Convention, Chamber judgments are not final. During the three-month period following a Chamber judgment's delivery, any party may request that the case be referred to the Grand Chamber of the Court. If such a request is made, a panel of five judges considers whether the case deserves further examination. In that event, the Grand Chamber will hear the case and deliver a final judgment. If the referral request is refused, the Chamber judgment will become final on that day. Under Article 28 of the Convention, judgments delivered by a Committee are final.

Once a judgment becomes final, it is transmitted to the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe for supervision of its execution. Further information about the execution process can be found here: www.coe.int/t/dghl/monitoring/execution

Jane Swift (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 29 04)

Claire Windsor (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 24 01)

The European Court of Human Rights was set up in Strasbourg by the Council of Europe member States in 1959 to deal with alleged violations of the 1950 European Convention on Human Rights.