

Forthcoming judgments and decisions

The European Court of Human Rights will be notifying in writing 17 judgments and / or decisions on Tuesday 27 May 2025, 55 judgments and / or decisions on Wednesday 28 May 2025, and 12 judgments and / or decisions on Friday 30 May 2025.

Press releases and texts of the judgments and decisions will be available at 10 a.m. (local time) on the Court's Internet site (www.echr.coe.int).

Tuesday 27 May 2025

[ARB SHPK and Others v. Albania \(applications nos. 39860/19, 38996/20, 6142/22, and 27370/22\)](#)

The applicants are ARB SHPK (applications nos. 39860/19 and 38996/20), a company based in Albania that specialises in private enforcement of judgments or writs, and two Albanian citizens, Kujtim Llagami and Selman Gazidedja, who live in Tirana and were born in 1962 and 1954 respectively.

The case concerns the alleged excessive length of proceedings in cases before the Albanian courts, against a backdrop of judicial reform.

Relying on Articles 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial) and 13 (right to an effective remedy) of the European Convention on Human Rights, the applicants complain of the length of proceedings and allege that they have no effective remedy for that complaint.

[Engels v. Belgium \(no. 38110/18\)](#)

The applicant, Raphaël Engels, is a Belgian national who was born in 1952 and lives in Belgium.

The case concerns the applicant's conviction on the basis of statements made at the investigation stage by his co-defendants, whom he was unable to have examined during the objection proceedings before the court of appeal, seeking to have the conviction decision set aside and the case reheard.

He relies on Article 6 §§ 1 and 3 (right to a fair trial) of the European Convention.

[Pedev v. Bulgaria \(no. 27165/21\)](#)

The applicant, Dimitar Ivanov Pedev, is a Bulgarian national who was born in 1991 and lives in Sofia (Bulgaria).

In July 2020 a protest movement against the then Bulgarian government began, and rallies and demonstrations were organised in various locations in Sofia city centre. On the evening of 10 July 2020, at one of these gatherings, Mr Pedev verbally abused several police officers and was arrested.

The case concerns Mr Pedev's claim that he was subjected to ill-treatment during his arrest, while detained at the police station, and also while in hospital, where he was allegedly handcuffed to his bed.

He relies on Articles 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment) and 13 (right to an effective remedy) of the Convention. He also considers that the authorities failed to conduct an effective investigation in order to identify and punish those responsible.

[Kalkan v. Denmark \(no. 51781/22\)](#)

The applicant, Nermin Kalkan, is a Danish national who was born in 1968 and lives in Vejle (Denmark).

The case concerns Ms Kalkan's son's death in prison in January 2011 from a heart attack after having been restrained in a prone position leg lock. Allegedly he had been in a violent and agitated state and had had to be restrained in this manner several times. He had been serving a sentence for robbery and threats against prison staff.

Relying on Articles 2 (right to life) and 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment), Ms Kalkan alleges that prison guards were not given clear instructions on use of prone positions, and that its use on her son for 13 minutes was excessive.

[Martinez Fernandez v. Hungary \(no. 30814/22\)](#)

The applicant, Benitóné Martinez Fernandez, is a Hungarian national who was born in 1937 and lives in Budapest. She has been diagnosed with dementia.

Following a suspected overdose, Ms Martinez Fernandez was transferred to a psychiatric hospital as she appeared disoriented on 2 September 2020. The next day a short court hearing was held with herself, her son and an appointed guardian *ad litem* present, at which her involuntary detention was approved and its continuation ordered, which is the subject of the case. Ms Martinez Fernandez was discharged six days later.

Relying on Article 5 §§ 1 (e) and 4 (right to liberty and security), Ms Martinez Fernandez complains that her involuntary hospitalisation was unjustified and the procedure ordering that did not respect her rights.

[Kári Orrason and Others v. Iceland \(nos. 29791/21, 40600/21, and 2281/22\)](#)

The applicants, Kári Orrason, Hildur Harðardóttir and Borys Andrzej Ejryszew, are, respectively, two Icelandic nationals and one Polish national. They were born in 1997, 1996 and 1991 respectively.

The case concerns the applicants' participation in a demonstration concerning asylum seekers in April 2019 at the Icelandic Ministry of Justice. The applicants entered the lobby of the building and refused to leave when ordered to do so by the police. They were then arrested and convicted of refusing to obey police orders under the Police Act.

Relying on Articles 10 (freedom of expression) and 11 (freedom of assembly and association), the applicants complain of their convictions.

[Nemytov and Others v. Russia \(nos. 1257/21, 3244/21, and 46231/21\)](#)

The applicants, Viktor Aleksandrovich Nemytov, Ilya Vilyamovich Azar and Yelizaveta Aleksandrovna Burma are Russian nationals who were born in 1982, 1984 and 1998 respectively and lived in Moscow and St Petersburg (Ms Burma) at the time of the events in this case.

The case mainly concerns the measures taken by the authorities against the applicants in respect of their participation in protests or in solo demonstrations during a period of covid restrictions in the Russian Federation. Fines and/or administrative detention, which the applicants characterised as disproportionate, were ordered by the courts.

They rely on Articles 5 (right to liberty and security), 6 (right to a fair trial), 10 (freedom of expression), and 11 (freedom of assembly and association), and Article 2 of Protocol No. 7 (right of appeal in criminal matters).

Selishcheva and Others v. Russia (no. 39056/22 and nine other applications)

The applicants are 10 Russian nationals who were born between 1959 and 2001. They live, variously, in Russia, Israel, Lithuania and the United States of America.

The case concerns the refusal to register the applicants as candidates in municipal elections based on police information alleging their “involvement” with organisations designated as “extremist” by the Russian courts. They registered to stand in the Berdsk municipality (Novosibirsk Region) for the Berdsk Coalition 2021 (a descendent of the opposition Novosibirsk Coalition). They submitted signatures and completed other formalities. However, they were denied access to the ballot in July or August 2021 owing to their alleged connections to, in particular, Alexei Navalny. In June 2021 the courts had declared three organisations associated with Mr Navalny to be extremist and ordered that they be banned.

The applicants rely, in particular, on Articles 8 (right to respect for private and family life), 10 (freedom of expression) and 11 (freedom of assembly and association).

The Court will give its rulings in writing on the following cases, some of which concern issues which have already been submitted to the Court, including excessive length of proceedings.

These rulings can be consulted from the day of their delivery on the Court’s online database [HUDOC](#).

They will not appear in the press release issued on that day.

Tuesday 27 May 2025

Name	Main application number
N.P. and V.P. v. Bulgaria	57184/22
Stoyanova v. Bulgaria	52180/21
Štengl v. Croatia	1742/21
Girdauskienė v. Lithuania	54171/21
Arjocu v. Romania	56630/22
Ayvaz and Others v. Türkiye	14347/17
Demirtaş v. Türkiye	21321/21
Mecit and Others v. Türkiye	69884/17
Yıldırım v. Türkiye	45558/21

Wednesday 28 May 2025

Name	Main application number
Hasanov v. Azerbaijan	27303/19
Mammadov and Others v. Azerbaijan	14078/23
Ramazanov and Others v. Azerbaijan	33127/23
Yusifov v. Azerbaijan	27669/19
Mecja and Others v. Greece	80083/17
Sulaiman and Others v. Greece	8167/22
Ács and Others v. Hungary	22599/24
Jant and Others v. Hungary	35930/24
Karsai and Others v. Hungary	22808/24
Azienda Agricola Liona S.r.l. v. Italy	39642/17
Azienda Agricola Olivara S.r.l. v. Italy	40214/17

Name	Main application number
Banca Sistema S.p.A. v. Italy	42981/23
Banca Sistema S.p.A. v. Italy	184/24
Belluomo and Others v. Italy	11895/24
BFF BANK S.P.A. v. Italy	1137/24
Blue Valley S.r.l. v. Italy	51011/11
Celato v. Italy	11238/24
Cornio Basso S.r.l. v. Italy	45119/17
De Lucia v. Italy	18135/24
Iacono Poerio and Coduti v. Italy	6583/24
La Torre and Others v. Italy	29829/23
Liguori and Others v. Italy	13949/24
Liguori v. Italy	36528/23
Melorio and Others v. Italy	11908/24
Melorio v. Italy	16305/24
Rossetti and Others v. Italy	7564/24
Valle Figheri 2 S.r.l. v. Italy	45158/17
Viale v. Italy	45154/17
Knihinicki v. Norway	36356/22
Burchard and Szymczak v. Poland	1470/22
Gruszczynski-Ręgowski and Others v. Poland	5059/22
Orzechowski and Others v. Poland	41888/23
Roguski and Others v. Poland	8895/24
Baptista Fernandes and Others v. Portugal	45873/22
da Silva Peixoto v. Portugal	37755/22
Ramiro Bernardo and Others v. Portugal	45866/22
Găman and Others v. Romania	11305/22
Singurelu and Others v. Romania	833/22
BOR-KOMERC DOO v. Serbia	7670/24
Negić and Others v. Serbia	24582/23
SM-LATEKS v. Serbia	23276/23
Daniš and Sudin v. Slovakia	11544/24
Krátky v. Slovakia	34224/22
Mračna and Moučka v. Slovakia	26316/24
Şah v. Türkiye	5993/23
Yılmaz and Others v. Türkiye	6756/19
Grygorchuk and Others v. Ukraine	7315/24
Morozova v. Ukraine	24315/24
Musitov and Others v. Ukraine	38206/23
Rutkovskyy and Others v. Ukraine	12569/24
Sedov and Others v. Ukraine	60591/17
Siyanko v. Ukraine	15014/21
Tomashevskyy and Vinnichenko v. Ukraine	26191/23
Tunik and Others v. Ukraine	9590/22
Vovk and Others v. Ukraine	56675/18

Friday 30 May 2025

Name	Main application number
Elagra LLC and Sedrakyan v. Armenia	62079/14
Sargsyan v. Armenia	47131/15
Pokorný v. the Czech Republic	40034/20
N.L. v. Germany	14859/24
Bugo v. Italy	62786/13
Bürgstaller & Partner S.R.L. v. Italy	63686/11
De Conto v. Italy and 32 Others	14620/21
Habitat Spa v. Italy	76717/12
Merelli and Noris v. Italy	81188/17
Uricchio v. Italy and 31 Others	14615/21
Sanz Casado and Others v. Spain	7588/20
Malyeyev v. Ukraine	2300/14

This press release is a document produced by the Registry. It does not bind the Court. Decisions, judgments and further information about the Court can be found on www.echr.coe.int. To receive the Court's press releases, please subscribe here: www.echr.coe.int/RSS/en or follow us on X (Twitter) [@ECHR_CEDH](https://twitter.com/ECHR_CEDH) and Bluesky [@echr.coe.int](https://bsky.app/profile/echr.coe.int).

Press contacts

echrpess@echr.coe.int | tel.: +33 3 90 21 42 08

We are happy to receive journalists' enquiries via either email or telephone.

Tracey Turner-Tretz (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 35 30)

Denis Lambert (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 41 09)

Inci Ertekin (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 55 30)

Neil Connolly (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 48 05)

Jane Swift (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 29 04)

The European Court of Human Rights was set up in Strasbourg by the Council of Europe member States in 1959 to deal with alleged violations of the 1950 European Convention on Human Rights.