

ECHR 192 (2025) 21.08.2025

Forthcoming judgments and decisions

The European Court of Human Rights will be notifying in writing 12 judgments on Tuesday 26 August 2025 and 44 judgments and / or decisions on Thursday 28 August 2025.

Press releases and texts of the judgments and decisions will be available at **10 a.m.** (local time) on the Court's Internet site (<u>www.echr.coe.int</u>).

Tuesday 26 August 2025

Kroi and Nocka v. Albania (application no. 84056/17)

The applicants, Agim Kroi and Albert Noçka, are Albanian nationals who were born in 1951 and 1952 respectively and live in Tirana.

The case concerns a 2007 annulment of a restitution-of-property decision concerning a 974 sq. m plot of land which the applicants had bought, and the court proceedings that followed.

Relying on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial) of the European Convention on Human Rights and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property) the applicants complain, in particular, that the Constitutional Court was not an independent tribunal.

Ftiti v. Greece (no. 37957/14)

The applicant, Cherif Ftiti, is a Tunisian national who was born in 1973 and lives in Sousse (Tunisia).

The case concerns the scheduling of Mr Ftiti's appeal in a criminal case for after he had been granted conditional release and was due to be expelled from Greece. He came to Greece in 1996, lived in Crete, and had two children there. His expulsion was ordered in 2009 following a conviction, against which he was appealing, for aggravated theft of livestock for which he received a 17-year prison sentence.

Relying on Article 2 of Protocol No. 7 (right of appeal in criminal matters) to the European Convention, Mr Ftiti complains of a violation of his right to appeal.

Vervele v. Greece (no. 34012/20)

The applicant, Nikoletta Vervele, is a Greek national who was born in 1945 and lives in Athens.

The case concerns a civil suit Ms Vervele brought on 22 June 2001 against Ippokrateio General Hospital of Athens, where she had worked as a cleaner, for non-payment of salary. On 27 February 2020 the Court of Cassation dismissed her appeal on points of law and on 9 April 2020, after its finalisation, an official copy of the final judgment became available to the applicant.

Relying on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial) and 13 (right to an effective remedy) of the Convention, Ms Vervele alleges that the civil proceedings in her case were overlong and that the remedy for excessive length of proceedings before the civil courts was not effective.

B.A. v. Iceland (no. 17006/20)

The applicant, B.A., is an Icelandic national who was born in 1975 and lives in Reykjavík.

The case concerns alleged physical, psychological and sexual violence that B.A. suffered at the hands of her former partner from 2011-14, including alleged rape, after which she was diagnosed with



post-traumatic stress disorder. In 2017 she complained to the police, but the investigation was discontinued in 2019, a decision that was upheld by the State Prosecutor.

Relying on Articles 3 (prohibition of inhuman and degrading treatment), 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and 14 (prohibition of discrimination), B.A. alleges the investigation into her ill-treatment complaints was inadequate, and that this was a result of gender discrimination.

M.A. v. Iceland (no. 59813/19)

The applicant, M.A., is an Icelandic national who was born in 1972 and lives in Kopavogur (Iceland).

The case concerns M.A.'s complaints to the police, accusing her then boyfriend of assaulting her twice in 2016 and threatening to send intimate images of her to her employer in 2017. She sought medical assistance after the two alleged attacks as she had suffered, among other injuries, a presumed broken toe. The subsequent investigation, opened in 2017, was discontinued in 2019. That decision was upheld by the State prosecutor.

Relying on Articles 3 (prohibition of inhuman and degrading treatment), 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and 14 (prohibition of discrimination), B.A. alleges the investigation into her ill-treatment complaints was inadequate, and that this was a result of gender discrimination.

Atanasije Ristić v. Serbia (no. 38336/21)

The applicant, Atanasije Ristić, is a Serbian national who was born in 1994 and lives in Belgrade.

Mr Ristić was arrested in 2017 and taken to a police station following his flight from officers. He admitted swallowing a plastic bag containing drugs while resisting arrest. The case concerns his being taken to the Military Medical Academy in Belgrade, where he was allegedly shackled and monitored, and given a laxative (allegedly he was not told what exactly the drug was). As a result, a plastic bag containing amphetamine and caffeine powder was retrieved. He spent a total of 90 hours in hospital.

Relying on Articles 3 (prohibition of inhuman and degrading treatment), 5 (right to liberty and security) and 13 (right to an effective remedy), Mr Ristić complains, in particular, that his treatment in hospital was degrading, and that his effective detention there was unlawful and arbitrary.

The Court will give its rulings in writing on the following cases, some of which concern issues which have already been submitted to the Court, including excessive length of proceedings.

These rulings can be consulted from the day of their delivery on the Court's online database <u>HUDOC</u>. They will not appear in the press release issued on that day.

Tuesday 26 August 2025

Name	Main application number
Rutkauskas v. Lithuania	15816/20
Donciu v. Romania	35777/15
G.R.N. v. Romania	41192/22
Pârvu and Others v. Romania	3524/22
Pop-Manta and Others v. Romania	46913/20
Güngöray v. Türkiye	33975/21

Thursday 28 August 2025

Name	Main application number

Name	Main application number
Grigoryan v. Armenia	14875/23
Harutyunyan v. Armenia	278/15
Abbasli v. Azerbaijan	6983/15
Kamakwili and Kalonbo v. Cyprus	36786/24
Singh v. France	3576/23
Bild GmbH v. Germany	34921/22
Engels and Others v. Germany	46906/22
Hajduné Korbeli and Others v. Hungary	31793/24
Kasza and Others v. Hungary	23095/24
Magyar and Others v. Hungary	21083/23
Skopienė and Ambrasas v. Lithuania	2677/22
Vassallo v. Malta	37022/20
Jovanovska and Others v. North Macedonia	40562/23
Janczarek and Others v. Poland	25214/23
Kośmicki v. Poland	11254/24
Śledź v. Poland	20262/20
Cavaleiro da Cunha Brazão and Others v. Portugal	25484/23
Ancuţa v. Romania	33586/19
Bîrlog v. Romania	40685/22
Dumitru v. Romania	2089/20
Elena Popa v. Romania	16726/21
Elgar v. Romania	27768/19
Mohîrță v. Romania	42588/20
Podea v. Romania	72648/17
A.K. v. Serbia	57188/16
Arnold v. Switzerland	11981/22
Cankatan v. Türkiye	39950/20
Kaya v. Türkiye	16058/19
Taş v. Türkiye	40924/19
Fesenko and Sergiyenko v. Ukraine	50038/17
Gordyna v. Ukraine	10416/24
Kiryeyev and Liman v. Ukraine	56234/16
Korzhevskyy and Others v. Ukraine	25321/24
Kovalenko and Others v. Ukraine	22971/19
Kovalevskyy and Others v. Ukraine	587/24
Luganskteplovoz, PAT v. Ukraine	44297/18
Piddubnyy and Others v. Ukraine	22973/23
Sharonov and Others v. Ukraine	21429/24
Zlagoda, TOV and Slobodenyuk v. Ukraine	4735/22
Bagnall v. the United Kingdom	54241/12
Briggs-Price v. the United Kingdom	59494/09
Gale v. the United Kingdom	25092/12
Koli v. the United Kingdom	58671/12
Sharma v. the United Kingdom	51757/12

This press release is a document produced by the Registry. It does not bind the Court. Decisions, judgments and further information about the Court can be found on www.echr.coe.int.

Follow the Court on Bluesky <u>@echr.coe.int</u>, X <u>ECHR_CEDH</u>, <u>LinkedIn</u>, and <u>YouTube</u>.

Contact **ECHRPress** to subscribe to the press-release mailing list.

Where can the Court's press releases be found? <u>HUDOC - Press collection</u>

Press contacts

echrpress@echr.coe.int | tel.: +33 3 90 21 42 08

We are happy to receive journalists' enquiries via either email or telephone.

Tracey Turner-Tretz (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 35 30) Denis Lambert (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 41 09) Inci Ertekin (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 55 30) Jane Swift (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 29 04)

The European Court of Human Rights was set up in Strasbourg by the Council of Europe member States in 1959 to deal with alleged violations of the 1950 European Convention on Human Rights.