Forthcoming judgments and decisions

The European Court of Human Rights will be notifying in writing seven judgments on Tuesday 23 April 2024 and 67 judgments and / or decisions on Thursday 25 April 2024.

Press releases and texts of the judgments and decisions will be available at **10 a.m.** (local time) on the Court's Internet site (<u>www.echr.coe.int</u>).

Tuesday 23 April 2024

Sacharuk v. Lithuania (application no. 39300/18)

The applicant, Aleksandr Sacharuk, is a Lithuanian national who was born in 1977 and lives in Vilnius.

He was a member of the *Seimas* (the Lithuanian Parliament). The case concerns his conviction in 2017 of abuse of office and of unlawful use of an official document because he had voted with another parliamentarian's identity card.

Relying on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial) of the European Convention on Human Rights, Mr Sacharuk complains that the Supreme Court panel which upheld his conviction in 2018 had not been impartial. He also complains that he was the first parliamentarian who had ever been convicted for voting in the place of another member of the *Seimas*, as up until then this had been the "tradition" or working practice, and that he could not therefore have foreseen that he would be convicted, in breach of Article 7 (no punishment without law) of the European Convention.

M.B. v. the Netherlands (no. 71008/16)

The applicant, Mr M.B., is a Syrian national who was born in 1997 and at the time of lodging his application was being held in immigration detention in Rotterdam (the Netherlands).

The applicant entered the Netherlands in October 2015 and applied for asylum. He was arrested shortly afterwards on suspicion of participation in a terrorist organisation and placed in pre-trial detention. He was convicted to 10 months' detention by a first-instance Court. He was released in September 2016, but immediately placed in immigration detention pending the assessment of his asylum application. The case concerns the decision to order the applicant's immigration detention on the ground that he posed a threat to public order.

Relying on Article 5 § 1 (right to liberty and security) of the Convention, Mr M.B. alleges that this decision was unlawful and arbitrary.

Zăicescu and Fălticineanu v. Romania (no. 42917/16)

The applicants, Leonard Zăicescu and Ana Fălticineanu, are Romanian nationals who were born in 1927 and 1929 respectively. They live in Bucharest. They are Jews and Holocaust survivors.

The case concerns the retrial and acquittal of two army officers in the 1990s who were convicted in the 1950s of war crimes and crimes against humanity for their involvement in, among other crimes, the persecution of Romanian Jews in 1941, in particular the Iași pogrom, which Mr Zăicescu had survived, and the placement of a high number of Jews in ghettos, which was the case for both applicants.

Relying on Articles 3 (prohibition of inhuman and degrading treatment) and 8 (right to respect for private and family life), both taken alone and in conjunction with Article 14 (prohibition of





discrimination), the applicants complain that the retrial proceedings' taking place, the resulting acquittals and the failure to inform them and the general public denied them an effective investigation into the Holocaust, and damaged their psychological integrity as Holocaust survivors. They also allege the authorities failed to take into account the anti-Semitic nature of the crimes, which amounted to discrimination.

Aydın Sefa Akay v. Türkiye (no. 59/17)

The applicant, Aydın Sefa Akay, is a Turkish national who was born in 1950. He started working as a legal advisor for the Ministry of Foreign Affairs in 1987 and since then has held a number of overseas postings. He is currently detained in Rize (Türkiye) following his conviction in 2021 of being a member of an armed terrorist organisation.

The case concerns the arrest and pre-trial detention of the applicant, as well as the search of his house and person, in the aftermath of the 2016 attempted military coup, in spite of his diplomatic immunity as a UN judge. At the time he was a judge for the UN International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals and working remotely from Türkiye.

Relying on Article 5 § 1 (right to liberty and security) and Article 8 (right to respect for private life and home), the applicant alleges that his arrest, pre-trial detention and searches of his home and person were unlawful because they were in blatant disregard of his diplomatic immunity. He also specifically alleges under Article 5 § 1 (c) a lack of any reasonable suspicion warranting his pre-trial detention, which was predominantly based on his use of the ByLock smartphone application and, under Article 5 § 4, that the courts failed to address his arguments concerning his diplomatic immunity when examining his objections against his pre-trial detention.

Lastly, relying on Article 46 (binding force and enforcement), he asks the European Court to urgently order his immediate release.

The Court will give its rulings in writing on the following cases, some of which concern issues which have already been submitted to the Court, including excessive length of proceedings.

These rulings can be consulted from the day of their delivery on the Court's online database <u>HUDOC</u>.

They will not appear in the press release issued on that day.

Tuesday 23 April 2024

| Name | Main application number |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Gülcü and Others v. Türkiye | 37013/15 |
| Orman and Others v. Türkiye | 73708/11 |
| Şahin Duman and Others v. Türkiye | 71667/11 |

Thursday 25 April 2024

| Name | Main application number |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Ibrahimli v. Azerbaijan | 42569/20 |
| Zeynalzade v. Azerbaijan | 41412/20 |
| Peeters and Others v. Belgium | 38574/21 |
| Marinov v. Bulgaria | 17402/18 |
| Todorova and Chakarov v. Bulgaria | 34513/20 |
| Kovačević and Others v. Croatia | 22190/18 |
| Žic and Others v. Croatia | 10665/23 |

| Name | Main application number |
|---|-------------------------|
| Ahmadi v. Denmark | 26597/23 |
| Taskiran v. Denmark | 19840/23 |
| Muhammad v. Greece | 14606/20 |
| Teneqexhi v. Greece | 22144/23 |
| Gyenge and Others v. Hungary | 62122/19 |
| Jónás and Others v. Hungary | 15893/23 |
| Kovács v. Hungary | 9988/23 |
| Lakatos and Others v. Hungary | 57470/22 |
| Patakfalvi v. Hungary | 24155/23 |
| A.F. and M.F. v. Italy | 44715/22 |
| Albanese and Others v. Italy | 38583/13 |
| Azzano and Others v. Italy | 53453/22 |
| Fantozzi and Others v. Italy | 14035/23 |
| Kuwait Petroleum Italia S.p.A. v. Italy | 82280/17 |
| Abdović and Others v. Montenegro | 21614/20 |
| Boljević and Others v. Montenegro | 21609/20 |
| Božović and Others v. Montenegro | 42403/20 |
| Magdelinikj v. North Macedonia | 13208/20 |
| A.N. v. Norway | 36588/22 |
| S.G. and M.C. v. Norway | 38082/21 |
| Nawrot and Others v. Poland | 56923/21 |
| Szafraniak and Others v. Poland | 52798/21 |
| Carreto Ribeiro v. Portugal | 20075/21 |
| Monteiro v. Portugal | 52898/18 |
| Baciu and Others v. Romania | 6389/21 |
| Bodor and Others v. Romania | 19638/18 |
| Buzdugan and Others v. Romania | 78654/17 |
| Szolomajer v. Romania | 1548/20 |
| Zăgreanu and Others v. Romania | 20550/18 |
| Antropov and Others v. Russia | 1434/18 |
| Berezutskiy and Others v. Russia | 26935/21 |
| Glushchenko and Others v. Russia | 26942/21 |
| Kuznetsov and Others v. Russia | 31856/21 |
| Kuznetsova and Others v. Russia | 33552/21 |
| Levanov and Others v. Russia | 40953/21 |
| Morozov and Others v. Russia | 6768/23 |
| Nelyubin and Others v. Russia | 2064/18 |
| Paramoshin and Others v. Russia | 14858/21 |
| Potapov and Others v. Russia | 1469/19 |
| Radchenko and Others v. Russia | 52479/22 |
| Selyayevy and Others v. Russia | 41809/21 |
| Poštić v. Serbia | 44173/16 |
| Bardúnová v. Slovakia | 10219/22 |
| Kollár v. Slovakia | 31549/23 |
| Kováčová v. Slovakia | 42073/22 |

| Name | Main application number |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Krátky v. Slovakia | 55788/20 |
| Machata v. Slovakia | 35458/23 |
| Pišoftová v. Slovakia | 21885/23 |
| Karadağ v. Türkiye | 8885/22 |
| Öztırak v. Türkiye | 46472/19 |
| Şenyayla and Others v. Türkiye | 9804/19 |
| Solmaz v. Türkiye | 36889/20 |
| Bigun v. Ukraine | 46184/21 |
| Chupryna and Others v. Ukraine | 22896/22 |
| Filipchuk and Others v. Ukraine | 8194/22 |
| Novosyolov v. Ukraine | 54109/22 |
| Usachenko and Others v. Ukraine | 69557/13 |
| Vuytsiv v. Ukraine | 13498/23 |
| Yakovenko and Others v. Ukraine | 1319/23 |
| Yarosh and Others v. Ukraine | 3479/22 |

This press release is a document produced by the Registry. It does not bind the Court. Decisions, judgments and further information about the Court can be found on<u>www.echr.coe.int</u>. To receive the Court's press releases, please subscribe here: <u>www.echr.coe.int/RSS/en</u> or follow us on X (Twitter) <u>@ECHR_CEDH</u>.

Press contacts echrpress@echr.coe.int | tel.: +33 3 90 21 42 08

We are happy to receive journalists' enquiries via either email or telephone.

Tracey Turner-Tretz (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 35 30) Denis Lambert (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 41 09) Inci Ertekin (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 55 30) Neil Connolly (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 48 05) Jane Swift (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 29 04)

The European Court of Human Rights was set up in Strasbourg by the Council of Europe member States in 1959 to deal with alleged violations of the 1950 European Convention on Human Rights.