

## Forthcoming judgments and decisions

The European Court of Human Rights will be notifying in writing six judgments on Tuesday 7 April 2026 and 30 judgments and / or decisions on Thursday 9 April 2026.

*Press releases and texts of the judgments and decisions will be available at **10 a.m.** (local time) on the Court's Internet site ([www.echr.coe.int](http://www.echr.coe.int))*

### Tuesday 7 April 2026

#### [Cekić v. Serbia \(application no. 19083/20\)](#)

The applicant, Miloš Cekić, is a Serbian national who was born in 1973 and is currently serving a prison sentence in Požarevac-Zabela Correctional Institution (Serbia) for robbery.

The case concerns the conditions of Mr Cekić's detention when serving the first part of his prison sentence at Sremska Mitrovica Correctional Institution and the adequacy of medical treatment he received there.

Relying on Article 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment) of the European Convention on Human Rights, Mr Cekić complains that he was detained in inadequate conditions while in solitary confinement and while subjected to enhanced supervision, and that his cell during enhanced supervision was overcrowded. He also complains that after spending time in those conditions he contracted tuberculosis and was not provided with adequate medical treatment.

### Thursday 9 April 2026

#### [M.V. and Others v. Belgium \(no. 52836/22 and 3 others\)](#)

The case concerns four asylum seekers who complain that they were not provided with accommodation or material support for several months in Belgium. That was despite final decisions by the Brussels French-Language Employment Tribunal ordering the Belgian State to grant them such assistance in accordance with its legal obligations.

Relying on Article 3 of the European Convention, the applicants argue that the conditions in which they were forced to live for several months amounted to inhuman and degrading treatment.

They also complain under Article 6 (right to a fair hearing) that the decisions of the Employment Tribunal were not enforced.

Lastly, relying on Article 34 (right of individual application), they submit that the Belgian authorities did not apply the interim measure indicated by the European Court within a reasonable time.

#### [Novák v. the Czech Republic \(no. 6656/24\)](#)

The applicant, Stanislav Novák, is a Czech national who was born in 1989 and lives in Brno (the Czech Republic).

The case concerns the dispute between Mr Novák and his former wife over custody of their two daughters, born in 2014 and 2018. When the couple separated in 2021, they had initially agreed to share custody, but the mother then sought sole custody and relocated to Prague, 200 km away from Brno. Mr Novák's attempts in the courts to prevent the relocation were all unsuccessful.

Relying on Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) of the Convention, he complains about the decision in 2023 by the appeal court granting the mother sole custody and limiting his contact rights. He alleges in particular that the courts had allowed the outcome of the case to be settled by the passage of time, instead of rectifying the mother's arbitrary decision to relocate the children.

#### [H.D. v. Italy \(no. 41645/23\)](#)

The applicant, H.D., is a Burkinabe national who was born on 1 January 2006 and lives in the southern Italian city of Lamezia Terme.

On 24 June 2023, H.D. arrived in Italy as an unaccompanied minor migrant. He was immediately placed in the adult reception centre and centre for asylum seekers, Sant'Anna C.A.R.A. Regional Hub in Isola di Capo Rizzuto, Crotona, where he was detained for about five months.

Relying on Articles 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment), 5 § 1 (right to liberty and security), 5 § 2 (right to be informed promptly, in a language which the detainee understands, of the reasons for arrest), 5 § 4 (right to have lawfulness of detention decided speedily by a court) and 13 (right to an effective remedy), H.D. complains that the conditions in which he was held were inadequate, unintended for minors, overcrowded and unhygienic, that there was no clear legal basis for his detention, and that he had no effective legal avenue for his complaints.

#### [Vendrame and Others v. Italy \(no. 47565/22\)](#)

The applicants are two Italian nationals F. and P. Vendrame, born in 1961 and 1967 respectively, and an Italian company, Società Agricola F.lli Vendrame e C. s.s., registered in 2005 and based in Passariano di Codroipo. F. and P. Vendrame are the company's managing partners.

The case concerns the imposition of land-use restrictions on plots of land belonging to F. and P. Vendrame due to the land being incorporated in a newly instituted natural reserve. The land was being used by the applicant company for harvesting timber. In October 2011, a request by the company for authorisation from the municipality of Codroipo to replant a poplar grove on the land was refused, as such an activity was not compatible with the land-use restrictions.

The applicants lodged proceedings challenging the incorporation of their land in the natural reserve, arguing, amongst other things, that they had not been provided with compensation for such restrictions. The domestic courts dismissed their action, noting that the restrictions did not give rise to a right to compensation, as they had not been imposed with a view to expropriating the land (*vincolo preordinato all'esproprio*). In their reasoning, the courts made reference to the availability of other allowances.

The area was later classified as a "Natura 2000" site (European network of nature protection areas established under the "EU Habitats Directive" (Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992) on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora).

Relying on Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 to the Convention (protection of property), the applicants complain about the restrictions limiting the use of their land and the lack of compensation for those restrictions.

[The Court will give its rulings in writing on the following cases, some of which concern issues which have already been submitted to the Court, including excessive length of proceedings.](#)

These rulings can be consulted from the day of their delivery on the Court's online database [HUDOC](#).

They will not appear in the press release issued on that day.

Tuesday 7 April 2026

Name	Main application number
Ismayilova v. Azerbaijan	44031/17
Omarov v. Georgia	25967/23
I.M. v. Romania	32208/22
Smaranda and Others v. Romania	14564/18
Societatea Muzeului Ardelean and Others v. Romania	30295/19

Thursday 9 April 2026

Name	Main application number
Gjinarari v. Albania	20617/23
Panahori v. Albania	6977/24
Khaloyan v. Armenia	20544/14
Kiviryan v. Armenia	17997/16
Daman v. Belgium	33235/17
Vande Castele v. Belgium	50350/22
Zustović v. Croatia	22487/21
Münster v. the Czech Republic	17290/23
Hussain v. Denmark	15860/22
Ablyazov v. France	29223/24
K v. France	40788/23
Chalidze v. Georgia	57816/21
L.P. v. Hungary	19376/23
Rocha Carmelino v. Portugal	30596/22
Petrescu v. Romania	38504/18
Popa v. Romania	18424/18
Simoncini v. San Marino	3106/24
Blasko v. Slovakia	50301/22
Mihajlović v. Slovenia	17268/23
Bayramaliyev v. Türkiye	49068/20
Federation of Trade Unions of Chernihiv Region v. Ukraine	40633/15
Khoman v. Ukraine	12308/19
Kis and Levchuk v. Ukraine	910/18
Mamedov v. Ukraine	43548/18
Noga v. Ukraine	45763/20
Rychka v. Ukraine	56119/14

This press release is a document produced by the Registry. It does not bind the Court. Decisions, judgments and further information about the Court can be found on [www.echr.coe.int](http://www.echr.coe.int).

Follow the Court on Bluesky [@echr.coe.int](https://bsky.app/org/echr.coe.int), X [ECHR CEDH](https://twitter.com/ECHR_CEDH), [LinkedIn](https://www.linkedin.com/company/echr), and [YouTube](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCR01111111111111111111).

Contact [ECHRPress](mailto:echrp@echr.coe.int) to subscribe to the press-release mailing list.

Where can the Court's press releases be found? [HUDOC - Press collection](https://www.echr.coe.int/hudoc)

#### Press contacts

[echrp@echr.coe.int](mailto:echrp@echr.coe.int) | tel.: +33 3 90 21 42 08

**We are happy to receive journalists' enquiries via either email or telephone.**

Tracey Turner-Tretz (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 35 30)

Denis Lambert (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 41 09)

Inci Ertekin (tel.: + 33 3 90 21 55 30)

Jane Swift (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 29 04)

Claire Windsor (tel.: + 33 3 88 41 24 01)

**The European Court of Human Rights** was set up in Strasbourg by the Council of Europe member States in 1959 to deal with alleged violations of the 1950 European Convention on Human Rights.