

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

17
11.1.2008

Press release issued by the Registrar

FORTHCOMING CHAMBER JUDGMENTS

15 and 17 January 2008

The European Court of Human Rights will be notifying in writing 27 Chamber judgments on Tuesday 15 January 2008 and 21 on Thursday 17 January 2008.

Press releases and texts of the judgments will be available at **11 a.m.** (local time) on the Court's Internet site (<http://www.echr.coe.int>).

Tuesday 15 January 2008

Bagarella v. Italy (application no. 15625/04)

The applicant, Leoluca Biagio Bagarella, is an Italian national who was born in 1942. He is at present imprisoned in L'Aquila (Italy). He relies on Article 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment) and Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) of the European Convention on Human Rights.

Micallef v. Malta (no. 17056/06)

The applicant, Joseph Micallef, is a Maltese national who lives in Vittoriosa (Malta). He relies on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing) of the Convention.

Ceachir v. Moldova (no. 11712/04)

The applicants are two Moldovan nationals who live in Moldova. Gheorghe Ceachir was born in 1931 and lives in Chişinău, and Ion Ceachir was born in 1940 and lives in Bălţi. They rely on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing).

Biziuk v. Poland (no. 15670/02)

Zagawa v. Poland (no. 76396/01)

Zaniewski v. Poland (no. 14464/03)

The applicants, Janusz Biziuk, Edward Zagawa and Stefan Zaniewski, are Polish nationals who were born in 1964, 1947 and 1932 respectively and live in Sokółka, Choszczno and Wrocław (Poland). They rely on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing). In the cases of *Biziuk* and *Zagawa*, the applicants also rely on Article 13 (right to an effective remedy).

Laszkiewicz v. Poland (no. 28481/03)

The applicant, Patrycja Łaszkiewicz, is a Polish national who was born in 1973 and lives in Będzin (Poland). She relies on Article 5 (right to liberty and security).

Luboch v. Poland (no. 37469/05)

The applicant, Zbigniew Luboch, is a Polish national who was born in 1956 and lives in Rzeszów (Poland). He relies on Article 6 (right to a fair trial).

Pawlak v. Poland (no. 39840/05)

The applicant, Bogdan Pawlak, is a Polish national who was born in 1974 and lives in Ząbki (Poland). He relies on Article 5 § 3 (right to liberty and security) and Article 8 (right to respect for correspondence).

Rozmarynowski v. Poland (no. 37149/02)

The applicant, Piotr Rozmarynowski, is a Polish national who was born in 1975 and lives in Rawicz (Poland). He relies on Article 5 § 3 (right to liberty and security).

Stanlik v. Poland (no. 31397/03)

The applicant, Antoni Stanlik, is a Polish national who was born in 1949 and lives in Wrocław (Poland). He relies on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial within a reasonable time).

Zborowski v. Poland (no. 45133/06)

The applicant, Mirosław Zborowski, was born in 1958 and lives in Poznań (Poland). He relies on Article 8 (right to respect for correspondence).

Zmarzlak v. Poland (no. 37522/02)

The applicant, Marek Zmarzlak, is a Polish national who was born in 1947 and lives in Izabelin (Poland). He relies on Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life).

R. Kačapor and Others v. Serbia (nos. 2269/06, 3041/06, 3042/06, 3043/06, 3045/06 and 3046/06)

The applicants are six Serbian nationals who live in Novi Pazar (Serbia). They rely on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing) and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property).

Karaman v. Turkey (no. 6489/03)

The applicants, Mustafa Karaman and Nimet Karaman, are Turkish nationals who were born in 1939 and 1949 respectively and live in Istanbul. They rely on Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property).

Mostafa and Others v. Turkey (no. 16348/05)

The six applicants, Sirwan Mohammad Mostafa, Diyako Sirwan Mohammad, Hako Sirwan Mohammad, Didar Sirwan Mohammad, Bilal Sirwan Mohammad and Sawsen Maarof Mohammad, are all Iraqi nationals who were born respectively in 1970, 1967, 1999, 1991, 2001 and 2004 and have lived in northern Iraq since being extradited. They rely in particular on Article 34 (right of individual petition).

Suat Ünlü v. Turkey (no. 12458/03)

The applicant, Suat Ünlü, is a Turkish national who was born in 1975 and lives in Istanbul. He relies on Articles 2 (right to life), 6 (right to a fair hearing), 13 (right to an effective remedy) and 14 (prohibition of discrimination).

Repetitive cases

The following cases raise issues which have already been submitted to the Court.

Ciccolella v. Italy (no. 314/04)

Citarella v. Italy (no. 28466/03)

Lepore v. Italy (no. 43466/04)

Mazzon v. Italy (no. 896/04)

The applicants rely on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing within a reasonable time), Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life), Article 13 (right to an effective remedy), Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property), Article 3 of Protocol No. 1 (right to free elections) and Article 2 of Protocol No. 4 (freedom of movement).

Rusu v. Moldova (no. 3479/04)

In this case the applicant relies on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing) and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property).

Companhia Agrícola da Barrosinha S.A. v. Portugal (no. 21513/05)

Costa Capucho and 23 other land reform cases v. Portugal (nos. 44311/04, 7780/05, 8297/05, 10132/05, 10139/05, 10150/05 10160/05, 15723/05, 16394/05, 16933/05, 17116/05, 17196/05, 17198/05, 17200/05, 17767/05, 18834/05, 18877/05, 18892/05, 19750/05, 19754/05, 19953/05, 20349/05, 21523/05 and 21525/05)

The applicants rely on Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property).

Mutu v. Turkey (no. 25984/03)

The applicant relies on Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property).

Length-of-proceedings cases

In the following cases, the applicants complain in particular about the excessive length of (non-criminal) proceedings, in breach of Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing within a reasonable time).

Cravenco v. Moldova (no. 13012/02)

Opalko v. Poland (no. 4064/03)

Thursday 17 January 2008

Abbasov v. Azerbaijan (no. 24271/05)

The applicant, Elchin Nariman oglu Abbasov, is an Azerbaijani national who was born in 1964 and lives in Russia. He relies on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial).

Ismayilov v. Azerbaijan (no. 4439/04)

The applicant, Ismayil Asgar oglu Ismayilov, is an Azerbaijani national who was born in 1947 and lives in Baku (Azerbaijan). He relies on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing), Article 11 (freedom of assembly and association) and Article 13 (right to an effective remedy).

Rahimova v. Azerbaijan (no. 21674/05)

The applicant, Natalia Onufriyevna Rahimova, is an Azerbaijani national who was born in 1949 and lives in Baku (Azerbaijan). She relies on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing within a reasonable time), Article 13 (right to an effective remedy), Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property).

Atanasov and Ovcharov v. Bulgaria (no. 61596/00)

The applicants are two Bulgarian nationals who live in Bulgaria. Ivan Georgiev Atanasov was born in 1957 and lives in Pazardzhik, and his father-in-law, Petar Asenov Ovcharov, was born in 1936 and lives in Aleko Konstantinovo. They rely on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair trial within a reasonable time), Article 13 (right to an effective remedy) and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property).

Dodov v. Bulgaria (no. 59548/00)

The applicant, Nikolai Ivanov Dodov, is a Bulgarian national who was born in 1961 and lives in Sofia. He relies on Article 2 (right to life) and Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing within a reasonable time).

Pilčić v. Croatia (no. 33138/06)

The applicant, Boris Pilčić, is a Croatian national who was born in 1951 and is presently serving a prison sentence in Lepogalva State Prison. He relies on Article 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment).

Vasilakis v. Greece (no. 25145/05)

The applicant, Emmanouil Vasilakis, is a Greek national. He relies on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing) and Article 10 (freedom of expression).

Prodan v. Romania (no. 26071/04)

The applicant, Anica Prodan, is a Romanian national who was born in 1956 and lives in Curtișoara (Romania). She relies on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing) and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property).

Khatsiyeva and Others v. Russia (no. 5108/02)

The applicants are seven Russian nationals who live in the village of Arshty in the Sunzhenskiy District of the Republic of Ingushetia. This district borders on the Chechen Republic. They rely on Article 2 (right to life), Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing), Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life) and Article 13 (right to an effective remedy).

Ryakib Biryukov v. Russia (no. 14810/02)

The applicant, Ryakib Ismailovich Biryukov, is a Russian national who was born in 1977 and lives in Togliatti (Russia). He relies on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing).

Repetitive cases

The following cases raise issues which have already been submitted to the Court.

Just satisfaction

De Pascale v. Italy (no. 71175/01)

Serrilli v. Italy (nos. 77823/01, 77827/01 and 77829/01)

The applicants rely on Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property).

Cernat v. Romania (no. 32286/03)

Tudor v. Romania (no. 29035/05)

The applicants rely in particular on Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property).

Aleksentseva and Others v. Russia (nos. 75025/01, 75026/01, 75028/01, 75029/01, 75031/01, 75033/01, 75034/01, 75036/01, 76386/01, 77049/01, 77051/01, 77052/01, 77053/01, 3999/02, 5314/02, 5384/02, 5388/02, 5419/02, and 8192/02)

Smorodinova v. Russia (no. 37647/04)

Kopylovich and Others v. Ukraine (nos. 1421/03, 11915/06, 11922/06 and 11924/06)

Lopatyyuk and Others v. Ukraine (121 applications)

The applicants rely on Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing) and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 (protection of property). The applicants in the case of *Kopylovich and Others* also rely on Article 13 (right to an effective remedy).

Length-of-proceedings cases

In the following cases, the applicants complain in particular about the excessive length of (non-criminal) proceedings, in breach of Article 6 § 1 (right to a fair hearing within a reasonable time).

Kavalovi v. Bulgaria (no. 74487/01)

A. and E. Riis v. Norway (No. 2) (no. 16468/05)

Atanasiu v. Romania (no. 15204/02)

Press contacts

Emma Hellyer (telephone: 00 33 (0)3 90 21 42 15)

Stéphanie Klein (telephone: 00 33 (0)3 88 41 21 54)

Tracey Turner-Tretz (telephone: 00 33 (0)3 88 41 35 30)

Paramy Chanthalangsy (telephone: 00 33 (0)3 90 21 54 91)

The European Court of Human Rights was set up in Strasbourg by the Council of Europe Member States in 1959 to deal with alleged violations of the 1950 European Convention on Human Rights.